

Referenda

General

2012

Champaign County

Municipal Electric Aggregation

"Shall Champaign County have the authority to arrange for the supply of electricity for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such a program?"

YES

NO

Village Of Ogden

Municipal Electric Aggregation

"Shall the Village of Ogden have the authority to arrange for the supply of electricity for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such a program?"

YES

NO

Village Of Savoy

Municipal Electric Aggregation

"Shall the Village of Savoy have the authority to arrange for the supply of electricity to the Village for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such a program?"

YES

NO

Village Of Mahomet

Municipal Electric Aggregation

"Shall the Village of Mahomet have the authority to arrange for the supply of electricity for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such a program?"

YES

NO

Village Of St. Joseph

Municipal Electric Aggregation

"Shall the Village of St. Joseph have the authority to arrange for the supply of electricity for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such a program?"

YES

NO

Windsor Park Fire Protection District

Proposition To Increase The Limiting Rate

Shall the limiting rate under the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law for the Windsor Park Fire Protection District, Champaign County, Illinois, be increased by an additional amount equal to .2399% above the limiting rate for the purpose of providing fire and rescue services for levy year 2011 and be equal to .3649% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property therein for levy year 2012? **YES**
NO

- 1. The approximate amount of taxes extendable at the most recently extended limiting rate is \$12,785, and the approximate amount of taxes extendable if the proposition is approved is \$36,202.
- 2. For the 2012 levy year the approximate amount of additional tax extendable against property containing a single family residence and having a fair market value at the time of referendum of \$100,000 is estimated to be \$79.97.
- 3. If the proposition is approved, the aggregate extension for 2012 will be determined by the limiting rate set forth in the proposition, rather than the otherwise applicable limiting rate calculated under the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law (commonly known as the Property Tax Cap Law).

Northern Piatt Fire Protection District

Election Of Trustees For Northern Piatt Fire Protection District

Shall the trustees of Northern Piatt Fire Protection District be elected, rather than appointed? **YES**
NO

City of Champaign Township

Advisory Non-Binding Question

The U.S. Supreme Court held, in "Citizens United v. FEC", that corporations have the rights of real human citizens and are entitled to spend unlimited amounts of money in support of political campaigns. To undo that decision, the people of the City of Champaign Township support an Amendment to the United States Constitution to establish that:

YES

NO

1. A corporation does not have the same rights as an actual person, and

2. Money is not speech and, therefore, regulating political spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech.

We further request that our city, state and federal representatives enact resolutions and legislation to advance the two positions proposed as part of the Amendment, with reference to the need for an Amendment.

Cunningham Township

Advisory Non-Binding Question: Privately Owned Public Spaces

"Shall the City of Urbana establish by ordinance that privately owned public spaces respect the right of polite, non-disruptive free political speech?"

YES

NO

"Whereas expensive media campaigns have recently largely replaced ordinary political conversations between citizens, and, whereas much of the public space in which such conversations used to take place has been replaced with private malls, and, whereas since 1980 the state of California has successfully required that polite non-disruptive political speech be allowed at certain privately owned public spaces such as malls and parking lots. We request that the City of Urbana establish by ordinance that such privately owned public spaces respect the right of polite, non-disruptive free political speech."

Cunningham Township

Advisory Non-Binding Question: Amendment To The United States Constitution

"Shall the City of Urbana have the authority to propose an amendment to the United States Constitution to establish: "1) that a corporation does not have the same rights as an actual person, and 2) that money is not speech and, therefore, regulating political spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech?"

YES

NO

"The U.S. Supreme Court held, in "Citizens United v. FEC", that corporations have the rights of real human citizens and are entitled to spend unlimited amounts of money in support of political campaigns. To undue that decision, the people of the Cunningham Township support an Amendment to the United States Constitution to establish that: 1) A corporation does not have the same rights as an actual person, and 2) Money is not speech and, therefore, regulating political spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. We further request that our city, state and federal representatives enact resolutions and legislation to advance the two positions proposed as part of the Amendment, with reference to the need for an Amendment."

State of Illinois

Proposed Amendment To The 1970 Illinois Constitution

"NOTICE

YES

THE FAILURE TO VOTE THIS BALLOT MAY BE THE EQUIVALENT OF A NEGATIVE VOTE, BECAUSE A CONVENTION SHALL BE CALLED OR THE AMENDMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IF APPROVED BY EITHER THREE-FIFTHS OF THOSE VOTING ON THE QUESTION OR A MAJORITY OF THOSE VOTING IN THE ELECTION. (THIS IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS A DIRECTION THAT YOUR VOTE IS REQUIRED TO BE CAST EITHER IN FAVOR OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSITION HEREIN CONTAINED.)

NO

WHETHER YOU VOTE THIS BALLOT OR NOT YOU MUST RETURN IT TO THE ELECTION JUDGE WHEN YOU LEAVE THE VOTING BOOTH".

CONSTITUTION BALLOT

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE 1970 ILLINOIS CONSTITUTION

Explanation of Amendment

Upon approval by the voters, the proposed amendment, which takes effect on January 9, 2013, adds a new section to the General Provisions Article of the Illinois Constitution. The new section would require a three-fifths majority vote of each chamber of the General Assembly, or the governing body of a unit of local government, school district, or pension or retirement system, in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system. At the general election to be held on November 6, 2012, you will be called upon to decide whether the proposed amendment should become part of the Illinois Constitution.

If you believe the Illinois Constitution should be amended to require a three-fifths majority vote in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system, you should vote "YES" on the question. If you believe the Illinois Constitution should not be amended to require a three-fifths majority vote in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system, you should vote "NO" on the question. Three-fifths of those voting on the question or a majority of those voting in the election must vote "YES" in order for the amendment to become effective on January 9, 2013.

State of Illinois

For the proposed addition of Section 5.1 to Article XIII of the Illinois Constitution.