

Registered Voters  
State Election  
November 6, 2012

WARD	PRECINCT	DEMOCRAT	REPUBLICAN	UNENROLLED	GREEN RAINBOW	LIBERTARIAN	SOCIALIST	GREEN PARTY USA	INTER 3RD PARTY	AMERICAN INDEPENDENT	WORKING FAMILIES	REFORM	RAINBOW COALITION	VETERAN PARTY AMERICA	NEW WORLD COUNCIL	PIRATE	GRAND TOTALS
1	A	627	53	630	14	3		1	1		1						1,330
1	B	873	89	643	10	2											1,617
2	A	900	36	549	14	2	1	1									1,503
2	B	647	14	381	11	0			1								1,054
3	A	811	55	847	16	4	2										1,735
3	B	785	59	773	15	11	2									1	1,646
4	A	682	44	599	18	3		2			1						1,349
4	B	728	43	574	14	4	1	2		1					1		1,368
5	A	728	56	670	8	1							1				1,464
5	B	741	58	692	8	1			1								1,501
6	A	654	106	796	5	2			1	1							1,565
6	B	708	105	739	4	2			2								1,560
7	A	794	76	701	5	4								1			1,581
7	B	663	95	759	7	4	1	1		1		2					1,533
GRAND TOTALS		10,341	889	9,353	149	43	7	7	6	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	20,806

## WARRANT FOR STATE ELECTION

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

City of Northampton

To Be Held November 6, 2012

In City Council, October 18, 2012, upon the recommendation of City Clerk, Wendy Mazza,

Ordered that meetings of the members of the qualified voters of the City of Northampton will be held on Tuesday, November 6, 2012 in the several polling places designated for the purpose by the City Council, as follows:

Ward 1, Precinct A	In Jackson Street School Auditorium
Ward 1, Precinct B	In Jackson Street School Auditorium
Ward 2, Precinct A	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 2, Precinct B	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 3, Precinct A	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 3, Precinct B	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 4, Precinct A	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 4, Precinct B	In the Senior Center, Great Room, 67 Conz Street
Ward 5, Precinct A	In Florence Civic and Business Building, 90 Park Street
Ward 5, Precinct B	In Smith-Vocational-Agricultural High School
Ward 6, Precinct A	In Robert K. Finn Ryan Road School
Ward 6, Precinct B	In Robert K. Finn Ryan Road School
Ward 7, Precinct A	In John F. Kennedy Middle School, Community Room
Ward 7, Precinct B	In Leeds School Gymnasium, Lower Level

The polls will be opened at seven o'clock in the forenoon and closed at eight o'clock in the evening of the said day, and all such voters will in the several wards and precincts in which they are individually entitled to vote between said hours give in their votes for the Electors of President and Vice President, for Senator in Congress, for Representative in Congress for the Second District, for Councilor from the Eight District, for Senator in General Court for the Hampshire & Franklin & Worcester District, for Representative in General Court for the First Hampshire District, for Clerk of Courts for Hampshire County, for Register of Deeds for the Hampshire District, and for the Register of Probate for Hampshire County, to fill a vacancy.

They will also give in their votes, "Yes" or "No" on the following questions:

Question 1-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Availability of Motor Vehicle Repair Information

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

#### 4 State Election November 6, 2012

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. the information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

6 State Election November 6, 2012

Question 2-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Prescribing Medication to End Life

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause the death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirement of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or rescind a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing or setting the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.



9 State Election November 6, 2012

Question 3-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Medical Use of Marijuana

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status, or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bonafide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old, who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures consistent with the rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center; be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana for the patient's own use.

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPG registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to \$500, and fraudulent use of registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013 , and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

12 State Election November 6, 2012

Question 4-This Question is Not Binding

Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the US constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to be the constitutional rights of human beings, and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending?

YES

NO

13 State Election November 6, 2012

Question 5-This Question is Not Binding

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress and the President to: (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, or to housing, food and unemployment assistance; (2) create and protect jobs by investing in manufacturing, schools, housing, renewable energy, transportation and other public services; (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and to reduce the long-term federal deficit by closing corporate tax loopholes, ending offshore tax havens, and raising taxes on incomes over \$250,000; and (4) redirect military spending to these domestic needs by reducing the military budget, ending the war in Afghanistan and bringing U.S. troops home safely now?

YES

NO

STATE ELECTION  
The Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
November 6, 2012  
City of Northampton

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

At a Legal Meeting of the Qualified Voters of all Wards and all Precincts, in the City of Northampton, holden on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November, being the sixth day of said month, in the year Two Thousand Twelve, for the purpose of giving in their votes for National, State and District Officers, also upon Question No. 1. Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Availability of Motor Vehicle Repair Information, No. 2. Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Prescribing Medication to End Life, No. 3. Law Proposed by Initiative Petition-Medical use of Marijuana, No. 4. Non-Binding-Propose an amendment to the U.S. Constitution affirming that the (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending, Question No. 5. Non-Binding (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, (2) create and protect jobs, (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and reduce the long-term federal debt and (4) redirect military spending.

The whole number of Ballots cast, including Absent Voter's Ballots was:

Sixteen thousand, four-hundred twenty seven (16,427)

And the whole number of Votes given in were received, sorted, counted and declared, and record thereof made in open meeting as by law directed; and said Votes were for the following named persons:

(COPY OF RECORD)

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:25pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For JOHNSON AND GRAY	11	9	8	8	12	14	7	5	8	7	6	12	8	7	122
For OBAMA AND BIDEN	758	1,105	1,119	690	1,066	996	828	921	883	981	955	973	1,005	940	13,220
For ROMNEY AND RYAN	98	223	90	37	131	101	69	110	139	151	273	292	222	244	2,180
For STEIN AND HONKALA	36	25	40	27	71	78	45	51	26	29	20	12	27	20	507
***For OBAMA AND BIDEN	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For OBAMA AND BIDEN	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
*For JOHNSON AND GRAY	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
**For OBAMA AND BIDEN	-	1	6	5	2	4	5	-	-	1	-	1	-	4	29
**For ROMNEY AND RYAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
**For STEIN AND HONKALA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
**For JOHNSON AND GRAY	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
All Others	4	-	2	1	2	6	3	2	3	4	1	1	1	3	33
Blanks	19	37	11	32	32	20	13	23	24	31	24	27	6	22	321
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

SENATOR IN CONGRESS

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For SCOTT P. BROWN	137	284	132	63	179	163	103	168	199	201	383	348	278	324	2,962
For ELIZABETH A. WARREN	770	1,071	1,120	688	1,100	1,029	837	904	856	974	874	935	978	880	13,016
***For ELIZABETH A. WARREN	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For ELIZABETH A. WARREN	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
*For SCOTT P. BROWN	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
**For ELIZABETH A. WARREN	-	1	1	5	2	4	5	1	-	1	-	1	1	4	26
**For SCOTT P. BROWN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
All Others	-	1	-	-	-	2	1	2	2	-	1	1	-	1	11
Blanks	19	43	23	44	35	21	24	38	26	28	21	33	13	31	399
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots



REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, SECOND DISTRICT

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For JAMES P. MCGOVERN	762	1,109	1,040	651	1,062	996	803	897	864	957	1,015	1,008	1,023	951	13,138
***For JAMES P. MCGOVERN	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For JAMES P. MCGOVERN	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
**For JAMES P. MCGOVERN	-	-	1	4	2	3	4	3	-	1	-	1	1	2	22
All Others	3	2	5	3	6	7	2	10	4	5	1	7	2	1	58
*All Others	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Blanks	161	289	230	142	246	213	161	205	215	241	263	302	244	286	3,198
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

COUNCILLOR-EIGHTH DISTRICT

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:25pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For MICHAEL J. ALBANO	672	950	934	605	996	904	727	788	758	833	813	853	864	807	11,504
For MICHAEL FRANCO	114	231	106	60	133	116	99	153	171	176	284	282	216	244	2,385
***For MICHAEL FRANCO	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For MICHAEL J. ALBANO	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
All Others	3	-	1	1	2	4	3	5	3	3	-	2	2	1	30
*All Others	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Blanks	137	219	235	134	185	195	141	169	151	192	182	181	188	188	2,497
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT-Hampshire, Franklin, & Worcester District

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For STANLEY C. ROSENBERG	769	1,162	1,090	661	1,083	1,000	809	942	924	1,023	1,080	1,095	1,061	1,021	13,720
***For STANLEY C. ROSENBERG	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For STANLEY C. ROSENBERG	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	9
All Others	2	2	3	1	4	7	-	7	2	3	1	2	1	-	35
Blanks	155	236	183	138	229	212	161	166	157	178	198	221	208	219	2,661
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots  
\*\*Partial Ballots  
\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT--First Hampshire District

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For PETER KOCOT	766	1,155	1,060	651	1,075	976	790	933	912	1,015	1,073	1,099	1,052	1,007	13,564
***For PETER KOCOT	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For PETER KOCOT	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	9
All Others	2	-	2	1	4	7	3	7	5	2	-	1	1	1	36
Blanks	158	245	214	148	237	236	177	175	166	187	206	218	217	232	2,816
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

CLERK OF COURTS - Hampshire County

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR.	728	1,097	948	611	1,022	925	757	865	854	933	1,002	1,027	989	953	12,711
***For HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR.	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For HARRY J. JEKANOWSKI, JR.	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
ALL OTHERS	4	-	2	1	2	2	3	10	2	3	1	1	5	1	37
Blanks	194	303	327	188	292	292	210	240	227	268	276	290	276	286	3,669
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

REGISTER OF DEEDS - Hampshire County

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:25pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For MARY K. OLBERDING	475	703	655	434	759	656	558	479	558	588	584	655	598	563	8,265
For GEORGE ZIMMERMAN	334	523	472	220	371	364	259	516	398	465	565	522	534	521	6,064
***For MARY K. OLBERDING	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For MARY K. OLBERDING	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	5
*For GEORGE R. ZIMMERMAN	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2
ALL OTHERS	1	1	1	1	1	3	-	4	1	2	1	1	-	1	18
Blanks	116	174	143	140	183	192	148	113	126	148	129	139	137	151	2,039
*Blanks	-	-	6	5	2	4	5	3	-	1	-	1	1	4	32
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots  
\*\*Partial Ballots  
\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

REGISTER OF PROBATE - Hampshire County

TIME	10:10pm	9:00pm	9:30pm	10:05pm	9:55pm	10:05pm	10:50pm	11:00pm	9:255pm	10:20pm	10:05pm	10:45pm	11:05pm	10:00pm	
WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
For MICHAEL J. CAREY	721	1,074	949	608	1,011	932	761	867	854	914	1,006	1,031	980	950	12,658
For MARK AMES	1	1	6	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	16
***For MICHAEL J. CAREY	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
*For MICHAEL J. CAREY	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	7
ALL OTHERS	2	1	6	1	4	1	2	6	4	3	-	3	5	1	39
Blanks	202	325	316	189	301	284	205	242	225	287	273	282	285	289	3,705
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballots

\*\*Partial Ballots

\*\*\*Provisional Ballots

Question 1 - Availability of Motor Vehicle Repair Information-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	675	912	968	600	1,013	868	716	794	766	922	933	987	912	921	11,987
Those reading NO numbered	80	393	83	56	78	89	76	161	102	72	128	107	112	103	1,640
***YES	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
*YES	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	7
Blanks	171	94	222	139	223	258	173	157	215	209	218	223	245	212	2,759
*Blanks	-	-	4	5	2	4	5	3	-	1	-	1	1	5	31
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

Question 2 -Prescribing Medication to End Life-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	608	1,065	935	569	963	872	712	833	676	818	680	803	820	758	11,112
Those reading NO numbered	244	122	248	150	273	272	188	217	331	321	515	420	394	379	4,074
***YES	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
*YES	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
Blanks	74	212	94	81	80	75	70	65	76	65	84	95	56	103	1,230
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballot  
\*\*Partial Ballot  
\*\*\*Provisional Ballot



Question 3 - Medical Use of Marijuana-Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	698	1,033	981	637	1,072	1,020	796	901	797	910	842	915	929	833	12,364
Those reading NO numbered	160	158	223	94	163	124	112	149	211	225	333	308	286	298	2,844
***YES	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
*YES	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	8	14
Blanks	68	209	72	69	81	75	62	65	75	69	104	95	55	103	1,202
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

Question 4 - amendment to the U.S. Constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending-Non-binding

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	680	1,065	1,049	621	1,060	943	767	891	798	905	891	955	960	896	12,481
Those reading NO numbered	99	120	80	54	76	103	70	83	129	128	176	161	124	143	1,546
***YES	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
*YES	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5
Blanks	147	213	144	120	178	169	127	138	156	166	212	203	185	197	2,355
*Blanks	-	2	3	5	2	4	6	3	-	5	-	1	1	5	37
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

\*Overseas Full Ballot  
\*\*Partial Ballot  
\*\*\*Provisional Ballot

Question 5 - (1) prevent cuts to Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and Veterans benefits, (2) create and protect jobs; (3) provide new revenues for these purposes and reduce the long-term federal debt and (4) redirect military spending-Non-binding

WARD	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Those reading YES numbered	691	1,035	1,014	631	1,046	945	777	892	797	930	908	896	941	882	12,385
Those reading NO numbered	101	156	104	53	111	100	68	91	138	132	167	222	157	157	1,757
***YES	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3
*YES	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	8
Blanks	134	209	158	116	159	174	125	132	148	142	204	200	172	201	2,274
Total	927	1,402	1,278	800	1,316	1,220	970	1,115	1,083	1,204	1,279	1,320	1,271	1,242	16,427

A true summary of the returns of All Wards and All Precincts of the City of Northampton, State Election held on November 6, 2012, including Absent Voter's Ballots.

Attest:   
City Clerk

\*Overseas Full Ballot  
\*\*Partial Ballot  
\*\*\*Provisional Ballot

ABSENT VOTERS' BALLOTS

	1A	1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B	5A	5B	6A	6B	7A	7B	Total
Over the Counter - Total	30	42	63	31	45	28	35	32	21	33	19	38	39	24	480
Civilian - Total	24	64	54	26	38	29	21	48	32	60	35	56	69	33	589
Military - Total	4	7	21	4	7	17	8	12	11	6	3	13	4	6	123
Total	58	113	138	61	90	74	64	92	64	99	57	107	112	63	1192