Sample Ballot - Jackson County, OR-November 6, 2012

	Only the appropriate candidate	s and n	neasures for each precinct w	ill appear	on that precinct's ballot
	Instructions To Voter Pencil Or Pen (Blue or Black Ink)			T	
the oval choice.	ire your vote counts, completely fill in to the left of the response of your		State		State
and fill in t	the oval to the left of the write-in line.		State Treasurer Vote for one		State Representative 5th District
Attention! Remember to inspect your ballot for mistakes! If you make a mistake or damage your ballot, call your County Elections Office		0	Ted Wheeler Democrat, Working Families	0	Vote for one Sandra A Abercrombie Republican
to ask fo	r a replacement ballot.		Cameron Whitten Progressive	0	Peter Buckley Democrat, Working Families
	Rational		John F Mahler Libertarian	0	Write-in
Your vote for	United States President and Vice President Your vote for the candidates for United States President and Vice President shall be a vote for the electors supporting those candidates.		Michael Paul Marsh Constitution		State Representative 6th District Vote for one
	Vote for one		Tom Cox Republican	0	Sal C Esquivel Republican, Democrat
	Democrat		Write-in		Write-in
0	Barack Obama United States President Joe Biden		Attorney General Vote for one		State Representative 55th District
	United States Vice President Pacific Green	0	Chris Henry Progressive		Vote for one John Huddle Democrat, Independent, Working
	Jill Stein United States President Cheri Honkala	0	James L Buchal Republican		Families Mike McLane Republican
	United States Vice President Progressive	0	James E Leuenberger Constitution, Libertarian		Write-in
0	Ross C (Rocky) Anderson United States President Luis J Rodriguez	0	Ellen Rosenblum Democrat		County
	United States Vice President		Write-in	-	Ivan County Commissions Dec C
0	<u>Libertarian</u> Gary Johnson United States President		State Senator 1st District	Jac	kson County Commissioner, Pos. 2 Vote for one
	James P Gray United States Vice President	0	Vote for one Jeff Kruse Republican		Jeff Scroggin Democrat
0	Republican Mitt Romney United States President	0	Eldon Rollins Democrat		Troy Hackett Independent
	Paul Ryan United States Vice President	0	Write-in		Doug Breidenthal Republican
0	Constitution Will Christensen		State Senator		Write-in
	United States President Kenneth L Gibbs United States Vice President		2nd District Vote for one Jim Diefenderfer		Nonpartisan State
		0	Democrat	Commissi	oner of the Bureau of Labor and Industrie: (2 Year Term) Vote for one
	Write-in Representative in Congress		Herman Baertschiger Jr Republican		Vote for one
	2nd District Vote for one	$\overline{}$	Write-in		Bruce Starr
	Joyce B Segers Democrat, Working Families		State Senator 28th District Vote for one		Brad Avakian
	Greg Walden Republican	0	Douglas K Whitsett Republican, Democrat		**
	Joe Tabor Libertarian	0	Write-in		Write-in onpartisan State Judiciary
\sim	Write-in		State Representative 2nd District		
	State	\bigcirc	Vote for one Jeff Adams Libertarian	Ju	dge of the Supreme Court, Pos. 3 Vote for one
	Secretary of State Vote for one	\bigcirc	Tim Freeman Republican		Richard C Baldwin
\circ	Seth Woolley Pacific Green	0	Write-in		Nena Cook
0	Robert Wolfe Progressive		State Representative 4th District		Write-in
\bigcirc	Bruce Alexander Knight Libertarian	\circ	Vote for one Rick Hake Constitution		
\bigcirc	Kate Brown Democrat, Working Families	\bigcirc	Dennis Richardson Republican, Democrat		
0	Knute Buehler Republican, Independent	\bigcirc	Write-in		
0	Write-in				
Any persor unduly influ particular n subject to a	WARNING n who, by use of force or other means, gences an elector to vote in any manner or to refrain from voting is a fine. (ORS 254.470)				

THIS IS NOT A REAL BALLOT, DO NOT USE TO VOTE Sample Ballot - Jackson County, OR-November 6, 2012

Nonpartisan State Judiciary	City of Ashland	City of Central Point
Judge of the Court of Appeals, Pos. 6 Vote for one	Councilmember, Pos. 1	Mayor Vote for one
James C Egan	Vote for one Jackie Agee	
Tim Volpert		Henry (Hank) Williams
> Tilli Voipert	Carol Voisin	Write-in
Write-in	Write-in	Councilmember, Ward 4 Vote for one
e of the Circuit Court, 1st District, Position 7 Vote for one	Councilmember, Pos. 3 Vote for one	No Candidate Filed
David Orr	C Keith Haxton	
Benjamin M Bloom	Greg Lemhouse	Write-in Councilmember, At Large
Write-in	- 0	Vote for two
Nonpartisan County	Write-in Councilmember Pos 5	Rick Samuelson
Jackson County Surveyor	Councilmember, Pos. 5 Vote for one	Patrick Iler
Jackson County Surveyor Vote for one	Regina Ayars	David Douglas
Martin C Stewart	Bruce Harrell	Kay Harrison
Scott Fein	C Rich Rosenthal	Carol Fischer
Herb Farber	Write-in	·
Write-in	Parks and Recreation Commissioner,	Write-in
kson Soil and Water Conservation	Vote for one Vanston Shaw	Write-in City of Facile Point
District Director, Zone 2	_	City of Eagle Point
Vote for one Barbara Niedermeyer	Vicki Tripoli	Mayor Vote for one
Darbara Niedermeyer	Write-in	Bob Russell
Write-in	Parks and Recreation Commissioner, Position 2 Vote for one	Write-in
Director, Zone 3 Vote for one	James Lewis	Councilmember
Juanita L Wright	Write-in	Vote for three Aaron Prunty
Write-in	Town of Butte Falls	l
Director, Zone 4 Vote for one	Mayor	William Fierke
Donald Hamann	Vote for one Frederick J Phillips	C Wayne Brown
	•	Write-in
Write-in	Write-in	Write-in
Director, Zone 5 Vote for one	Councilmember, Pos. 1 Vote for one	Write-in
Ron Hillers	Carrie L Driskell	Wille-III
Write-in		
City of Ashland	Write-in Councilmember, Pos. 2	
Mavor	- Vote for one	
Mayor Vote for one	No Candidate Filed	
John Stromberg	Write-in	
Alan DeBoer	Councilmember, Pos. 3 Vote for one	
Biome	C Lila McClanahan	
Write-in	Write-in	

Sample Ballot - Jackson County, OR-November 6, 2012

City of Gold Hill	City of Medford	City of Rogue River
Mayor Vote for one	Councilmember, Ward 1 Vote for one	Councilmember Vote for three
Bucky Steffen	Curt Ankerberg	Shelli Spencer
Jan Fish	Dick Gordon	Richard Pardy
		_
Write-in Councilmember	Write-in Councilmember, Ward 2	Write-in
Vote for three	Vote for one	Write-in
Gus Wolf	Eli Matthews	Write-in
Margaret Dials	Clay Bearnson	City of Shady Cove
Samuel Blake	Write-in	Mayor Vote for one
Doug Reischman	Councilmember, Ward 3	Ron Holthusen
Write-in	Vote for one Chris Corcoran	Write-in
Write-in		Councilmember
	Write-in	Vote for two
Write-in City of Jacksonville	Councilmember, Ward 4 Vote for one	Leith R Hayes
Mayor	O Daniel Bunn	Jim Ulrich
Vote for one	Write-in	Write-in
Paul Becker	City of Phoenix	Write-in
Jim Lewis	Mayor	City of Talent
Write-in	Vote for one	Councilmember, Pos. 2
Councilor Vote for three	Steve Schulman	(4 Year Term) Vote for one Darby Stricker
Jocie Wall	Jeff Bellah	
William (Bill) Hampton	Write-in	Write-in
en e •	Councilmember Vote for three	СоилсіІметьег, Роз. 3 (2 Year Term) Vote for one
Kathleen (Katie) Haugse	Terry Helfrich	Joan Dean
David Jesser	Stan Bartell	Write-in
Owen Jurling	Carolyn Bartell	Councilmember, Pos. 4
Christopher (Criss) Garcia	0	(4 Year Term) Vote for one Teresa Cooke
Write-in		
Write-in	Write-in	Write-in Councilmember, Pos. 6
Write-in	Write-in	(4 Year Term) Vote for one
City of Medford	City of Rogue River	Edwin Jerome McMan
Mayor	Mayor Vote for one	Write-in
Vote for one Gary H Wheeler	A D (Fred) England	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Write-in	_
Write-in		

Sample Ballot - Jackson County, OR-November 6, 2012

Only the appropriate candidates and measures for each precinct will appear on that precinct's ballot					
Proposed City of White City	State Measures	State Measures			
Council Members Vote for five Curt Sather	Proposed by Initiative Petition 79 Amends Constitution: Prohibits real estate transfer taxes, fees, other assessments, except those operative on December 31, 2009	84 Phases out existing inheritance taxes on large estates, and all taxes on intra-family property transfers			
Ron Wilson	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote prohibits	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote phases out existing inheritance/estate taxes on large estates, death-related property transfers, and taxes on certain intra-family property transfers; reduces state			
Lee DeBerry	property, except those operative December 31, 2009.	revenue. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing estate/inheritance taxes on estates with value of at least \$1 million; tax on all income-producing intra-family property transfer.			
Stan Alexander	Result of "no" vote: "No" vote relains existing law prohibiting local governments from imposing real estate transfer taxes/fees (with exceptions), allowing state legislature to impose such taxes/fees.	intra-family property transfers.			
Daniel W Phariss	Yes	○ Yes			
Roger O Hansen	○ No	○ No			
Constance Travesi	80 Allows personal marijuana, hemp cultivation/use without license; commission to regulate commercial marijuana cultivation/sale	85 Amends Constitution: Allocates corporate income/excise tax "kicker" refund to additionally fund K through 12 public education			
Cindy Mohar	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allows	Result of "ves" vote: "Yes" vote allocates the			
Joy Reich	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allows commercial marijuana (cannabis) cultivation/sale to adults through state-licensed stores; allows unlicensed adult personal cultivation/use; prohibits restrictions on hemp (defined). Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing civil and criminal laws prohibiting cultivation, possession and delivery of marijuana; retains current statutes that permit regulated medical use of marijuana.	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allocates the corporate income and excise tax "kicker" refund to the General Fund to provide additional funding for K through 12 public education. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing corporate income and excise tax "kicker" that requires refund to corporations when revenue exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more.			
Javier Zavala	and criminal laws prohibiting cultivation, possession and delivery of marijuana; retains current statutes that permit regulated medical use of marijuana.	requires refund to corporations when revenue exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more.			
Write-in					
Write-in	Yes	Yes			
Write-in		O No			
Write-in	81 Prohibits commercial non-tribal fishing with gillnets in Oregon "inland waters," allows use of seine nets	City of Ashland			
Write-in See Full Text of Measures on	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote changes commercial non-tribal fishing in Oregon "inland . waters" (defined) by banning gillnets, adopting other regulatory changes; recreational salmon fishers ensured their recent share. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote continues current commercial fishing angulary statics laws allowing the commercial fishing angulary statics.	15-113 Renewal of Local Option Tax Levy Funding for Ashland Library			
Separate Sheet		Question: Shall Ashland levy up to \$.21 per \$1,000 assessed value for four years beginning July 1, 2013 for library operations? This measure renews			
State Measures	gillnets, leaves other current regulations in place; continues annual adjustment of recreational salmon harvest share.	current local option taxes.			
See Full Text of State Measures on Separate Sheet		Yes			
Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly	Yes	○ No			
77 Amends Constitution: Governor may declare "catastrophic disaster" (defined); requires legislative session; authorizes suspending specified constitutional spending restrictions	No No	15-116 Question on constitutional definition of "persons" and campaign spending limits			
Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote grants Governor	82 Amends Constitution: Authorizes establishment of privately-owned casinos; mandates percentage of revenues payable to dedicated state fund	Question: Shall Ashland voters instruct Congress to amend U.S. Constitution to grant only natural			
disaster" (defined); requires legislative session; legislature may suspend specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains Governor's statutory authority to declare state of emergency."	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote amends state constitution to authorize privately-owned casinos; requires such casinos to give percentage of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified	persons constitutional rights and limit campaign spending?			
statutory authority to declare state of emergency, retains constitutional spending restrictions and constitutional restrictions on legislative authority without exception for emergency.	purposes. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote maintains current state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casing within state: tribal casings	Yes			
Yes	authorized pursuant to gaming compacts.	○ No			
○ No	Yes				
78 Amends Constitution: Changes	○ No	City of Medford			
constitutional language describing governmental system of separation of powers; makes grammatical and spelling changes	83 Authorizes privately-owned Wood Village casino; mandates percentage of revenues payable to dedicated state fund	15-115 Medford General Obligation Bonds to Finance Aquatic Facilities			
Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote changes constitutional language describing separation of powers to refer to three "branches" (instead of three "departments") of government; makes other grammatical, spelling changes. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing constitutional language describing separation of powers between three "departments" of government (rather than three "branches" of government); retains misspelled, other language.	Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote authorizes a single privately-owned casino in Wood Village; requires casino to give percentage of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified purposes. Result of "no" vote: "No" vote maintains current state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casino within state; tribal casinos authorized pursuant to gaming compacts. Yes	Question: Shall Medford issue up to \$14,500,000 in general obligation bonds to pay for capital construction to City aquatic facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution. Yes			
○ Yes	O No	NOTICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY			
○ No		○ No			

Sample Ballot - Jackson County, OR-November 6, 2012

Only the appropriate candidate	es and measures for each precinct will	appear on that precinct's ballet
		appear on that precinct's ballot
City of Rogue River		
	4	
15-117 City of Rogue River Street Improvement General Obligation Bond Authorization		
Question: Shall the City issue bonds in an amount not exceeding \$1,600,000 to finance the costs of street improvements and repairs?		
Yes		
○ No	4	
City of Shady Cove		
15-118 City of Shady Cove General Obligation Bonds for Water System		
Question: Shall the City issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$23,000,000 for a municipal water system? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from laxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.		
Yes		
○ No		
Proposed City of White City		
15-114 Incorporation of the City of White City		
Question: Shall White City become a city with a permanent tax rate limitation of \$1.45 per \$1,000 of assessed value?		
Yes		
○ No		
Jackson County Rural Fire District No. 5		
15-112 Jackson County Fire District No. 5 General Obligation Bond Authorization		
Question: Shall Jackson County Fire District No. 5 be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$1,800,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.		
○ Yes		
O No		
	<u> </u>	

FULL TEXT OF BALLOT TITLES—NOVEMBER 6, 2012 JACKSON COUNTY • STATE OF OREGON

ONLY THE CAPTION AND QUESTION WILL APPEAR ON YOUR OFFICIAL BALLOT AND ONLY THE APPROPRIATE MEASURES FOR EACH PRECINCT WILL APPEAR ON THAT PRECINCT'S BALLOT.

STATE MEASURES

Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

77 Amends Constitution: Governor may declare "catastrophic disaster" (defined); requires legislative session; authorizes suspending specified constitutional spending restrictions

spending restrictions

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote grants Governor constitutional

uithority to declare "catastrophic disaster" (defined); requires
legislative session; legislature may suspend specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains Governor's statute authority to declare state of emergency; retains constitution spending restrictions and constitutional restrictions on legis authority without exception for emergency.

suthority without exception for emergency.

Summary: Amends Constitution. Currently, Governor has statutory, but not constitutional, authority to declare state of emergency and direct response to emergency. Measure grants Governor constitutional authority to declare and respond to natural or human-caused "catastrophic disaster" (defined). Authorizes Governor to redirect previously allocated General Fund and lottery monies to disaster response. Requires legislative session (under emergency conditions, if necessary) to enact implementing legislation, legislation may include temporarily suspending specified constitutional spending restrictions. Terminates Governor's disaster spending authority upon enactment of law specifying purposes for which funds may be used. Limits disaster authority of Governor and legislature to 30 days unless legislature acts to shorter/lengthen period; such legislation my include any provision legislature deems necessary to provide "orderly transition" (undefined) to normal conditions. Other provisions.

78 Amends Constitution: Changes constitutional language describing governmental system of separation of powers; makes grammatical and spelling changes

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote changes constitutional lan-guage describing separation of powers to refer to three "branch-es" (instead of three "departments") of government; makes other grammatical, spelling changes.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing constitutional language describing separation of powers between three "departments" of government (rather than three "branches" of government); retains misspelled, other language.

government); relains misspelled, other language.

Summary: Amends constitution. Measure makes nonsubstantive changes to wording now contained in the Oregon constitution. Current state constitutional language describes the governmental separation of powers to be divided into three separate "departments": Legislative, Executive (including Administrative), and Judicial. Measure revises this constitutional phrasing by changing it to reler to three separate "branches" of government, which conforms to more contemporary, commonly-used designations for these separate divisions of government. Measure changes the description of the bwo houses of the Legislature to two "chambers" of the Legislature (rather than two "branches" of the Legislature), which also reflects more modern designations for them. Measure additionally modernizes spelling and makes grammatical changes to replace existing references to the Secretary of State as "he," "nim," and "his" with gender-neutral wording.

Estimate of financial impact: The measure will have no finan-cial impact on state or local government revenues or expendi-tures.

Proposed by Initiative Petition

79 Amends Constitution: Prohibits real estate transfer taxes, fees, other assessments, except those operative on December 31, 2009

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote prohibits state/local governments from imposing taxes, fees, assessments on transfer of any interest in real property, except those operative December 31, 2009.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing law prohibit-ing local governments from imposing real estate transfer taxes/ fees (with exceptions), allowing state legislature to impose such taxes/fees.

trees (with exceptions), allowing state registative to impose sout taxes/fees.

Summary: Amends constitution. Current statutory law prohibits a cily, county, district, or other political subdivision or municipal corporation from imposing taxes or fees on the transfer of real estate (with certain exceptions). However, the state legislature has the authority, subject to Governor approval, to impose such taxes and fees or to change current statutory law. Measure prohibits the state and any city, county, district, or other political subdivision or municipal corporation from imposing taxes, fees, or other assessments based upon the transfer of any interest in real property or measured by the consideration paid or received upon the transfer of any interest in real property. Measure ex-empts from the prohibition any taxes, fees, or other assessments in effect and operative on December 31, 2009. Other provisions. Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial impact on

Estimate of financial impact: There is no financial impact on state or local government expenditures or revenues.

80 Allows personal marijuana, hemp cultivation/use without license; commission to regulate commercial marijuana cultivation/sale

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allows commercial marijuana (cannabis) cultivation/sale to adults through state-licensed stores; allows unilcensed adult personal cultivation/use; prohibits restrictions on hemp (defined).

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing civil and criminal laws prohibiting cuttivation, possession and delivery of mari-juana; relains current statutes that permit regulated medical use of manipuan.

of marijuana:

Summary: Currently, marijuana cuttivation, possession and delivery are prohibited; regulated medical marijuana use permitted. Measure replaces state, local marijuana laws except medical marijuana and driving under the influence laws; distinguishes "hemp" from "marijuana"; prohibits regulation of hemp. Creates commission to license marijuana cuttivation by qualified persons and to purchase entire crop. Commission sells marijuana at cost to pharmacies, medical research facilities and to qualified adults for profit through state-licensed stores. Ninety percent of net proceeds goes to state general fund, remainder to drug education, treatment, hemp promotion. Bans sales to, possession by minors. Bans public consumption except where signs permit,

Measure 80 continued

minors barred. Commission regulates use, sets prices, other duties; Attorney General to defend against federal challenges/prosecutions. Provides penalties. Effective January 1, 2013; other provisions.

orner provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure legalizes the private manufacture, possession and use of cannabis in Oregon. Investigations and prosecutions for related offenses would no longer take place after the effective date of this measure. State and local expenditures and revenues will be impacted by passage of this measure.

The measure creates the Oregon Cannabis Commission, appointed by the Governor, to carry out the provisions of the measure. The state's Chief Financial Office believes the appointment of the commission will not add noteworthy cost to state expenditures.

expenditures.

The cost of operating the Commission may be similar to the cost of operating the existing Oregon Liquor Control Commission, which is about \$22 million per year, excluding the variable expenses related to compensating iquor store owners and paying bank card fees. Total additional revenues to state government are indeterminate, but revenues are likely to be sufficient to offset the expenditures of the Commission.

The measure requires the Original Commission to consult with the Board of Pharmacy on various issues and, if practicable, to establish certain rules. As the Commission is not granted rule-making authority, the Board of Pharmacy may be called upon to establish those rules. The Board of Pharmacy estimates the need for one half-time pharmacist, at a cost of approximately \$75,000 per year, to carry out these additional duties.

State expenditures would be reduced by the amount that the state pays for felony oftenders with related convictions in prison and on probation. The savings to the state as a result of the passage of this measure is estimated to be between \$1.4 million and \$2.4 million a year.

and \$2.4 million a year. The measure prohibits the disclosure of names and addresses of applicants, licensees, and purchasers of cannabis except upon the person's request. The Oregon Judicial Department estimates additional expenditures of between \$1.6 million and \$3.3 million per year to ensure court case files do not contain such names or addresses prior to allowing them to be viewed by parties to a case, the public, or the media.

The amount of the impact for local law enforcement, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

Operation of the Commission Impact on Expenditures: May increase \$22 million per year

Impact on Revenue: Indeterminate, but likely sufficient to cover expenditures

Impact on Revenue: None

Felony convictions
Impact on Expenditures:
Decrease of \$1.4 - \$2.4 million per year

Impact on Revenue: None

State Courts Impact on Expenditures: Increase of \$1.6 - \$3.3 million per year

Impact on Revenue:

Total Impact to the State; Impact on Expenditures; May increase \$22.3 - \$23 million per year

Impact on Revenue: Indeterminate, but likely sufficient to cover expenditures

Impact to Local Government: Impact on Expenditures:

Impact on Expenditu Indeterminate Impact on Revenue: Indeterminate

81 Prohibits commercial non-tribal fishing with gillnets in Oregon "inland waters," allows use of seine nets

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote changes commercial non-tribal fishing in Oregon "inland waters" (defined) by banning gillnets, adopting other regulatory changes; recreational salmon fishers ensured their recent share.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote continues current commerci fishing practices, retains laws allowing gillnets, leaves other current regulations in place; continues annual adjustment of recreational salmon harvest share.

recreational salmon harvest share.

Summary: Current law allows commercial salmon fishing in Columbia River only with gilnets, requires recreational salmon fishers' percentage share of overall salmon catch to be readjusted annually; allows issuing of gillnet permits within limit of 200; recognizes gilinet licenses as valid in Columbia River in both Oregon and Washington waters. Measure bans commercial gillnet fishing by non-tribal fishers in Oregon 'inland waters' (defined); requires Oregon Fish and Wildlie Commission to permit use of 'seine nets' (defined) instead; ensures that recreational salmon fishers' percentage of overall salmon catch remains at 2007-2011 levels; prohibils purchase of salmon caught by gillnet by non-tribal fishers in Oregon inland waters; prohibits issuing of additional gillnet permits; repeals statute recognizing validity of gillnet licenses in Oregon and Washington waters. Other provisions.

Sints. Statistics of the state of the state

82 Amends Constitution: Authorizes establishment of privately-owned casinos; mandates percentage of revenues payable to dedicated state fund

Result of "yes" vote: "ves" vote amends state constitution to authorize privately-owned casinos; requires such casinos to give percentage of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified purposes.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote maintains current state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casino within state; tribal casinos authorized pursuant to gaming compacts.

state; tribal casinos authorized púrsuant ló gaming compacts.

Summary: Amends constitution. Currently, Oregon Constitution prohibits the operation of privately-owned, non-tribal casinos within the state. Under measure, State Lottery shall permit the operation of privately-owned casinos within the state, provided that the particular operation is approved through an initiative law Privately-owned casinos must be located within an incorporated city, and city electors must also approve casino location. The pravately-owned casinos must be located within an incorporated city, and city electors must also approve casino location. The pravately-owned casino shall pay 25% of adjusted gross revenues each month to a declicated state fund for the purposes of fostering job growth, educational achievement, vibrant local communities, protecting and improving natural environment, and supporting all federally recognized Indican tribes in Oregon. Amendment prohibits the operation of privately-owned casino within 60-mile radius of existing tribal casino operating on reservation land.

radius of existing troal casino operating on reservation land. Estimate of linancial impact: This measure has an indeter-minate linancial impact. Currently the Constitution prohibits casinos in Oregon, and this measure amends the Constitution to allow casinos. However, if the measure is adopted, there may be a financial impact to certain local government entities that receive revenue derived from tribal gaming operations, because tribal gaming revenues may decline.

83 Authorizes privately-owned Wood Village casino; mandates percentage of revenues payable to dedicated state fund

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote authorizes a single privately-owned casino in Wood Village; requires casino to give percent-age of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified purposes

age of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified purposes Result of "no" vote: "No" vote maintains current state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casino within state; tribal casinos authorized pursuant to gaming compacts.

Summary: Currently, Oregon Constitution prohibits privately-owned casinos within state. Under measure, State Lottery shall issue renewable 15-year lease permitting owner of former Multnomah Kennel Club in Wood Village to operate gaming devices, table games, keno, and other games of chance at that site. Measure would become operative only if constitution is amended to permit privately-owned casinos within state. Casino operator shall pay 25% of adjusted gross revenues monthly to State Lottery, Lottery shall deposit 20% of adjusted gross revenues into Job Growth, Education and Communities Fund (separate from general fund), and 80% in State Lottery Fund. Moneys in the Job Growth fund are apportioned to the incorporated cities adjoining casino, Indian tribes, law enforcement, and gambling treatment services. Other provisions.

Estimate of linancial impact: The linancial impact of the mea-

Estimate of financial impact: The financial impact of the mea-sure is indeterminate. This measure authorizes a single privately owned casino in Multromath County. The measure requiries a minimum investment of \$250 million in the casino property. The measure sets limits on the number of slot machines and table games at 3,500 and 150, respectively.

If the casino is built, the following direct financial impacts on state and local government will result (millions of dollars):

25% Casino revenue transferred to State and local governments Low estimate of impact \$83 High estimate of impact \$94

Reduction in State Video Lottery earnings Low estimate of impact \$51 High estimate of impact \$40

High estimate of impact -\$40

Net Revenue to State and local governments
Low estimate of impact \$52

High estimate of impact \$52

High estimate of impact \$54

The impacts listed above depend on initial assumptions, including a \$300 million investment in the casino property, as well as 2,200 slot machines and 100 lable games. To the extent that actual investment and build differ significantly from these assumptions, the impact to state and local governments would also vary. Thus the overall impact of this measure on state and local government revenue is indeterminate.

84 Phases out existing inheritance taxes on large estates, and all taxes on intra-family property transfers

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote phases out existing inheritance/estate taxes on large estates, death-related property transfers, and taxes on certain intra-family property transfers; reduces state revenue.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing estate/inheri-tance taxes on estates with value of at least \$1 million; tax on all income-producing intra-family property transfers.

income-producing intra-family property transfers.

Summary: Current state law imposes one-time tax on estate of person dying on/after January 1, 2012, if estate's gross value—determined by federal law as of December 31, 2010—is at least \$1,000,000. Current law taxes income-producing property sales, regardless of parties' relationship. Measure incrementally phases out estate/inheritance tax, tax on property transferred in connection with person's death; prohibits imposition of such taxes on property of person dying on/after January 1, 2016. Allows state to cooperate with other states and federal government in administering those entitles' estate/inheritance taxes; permits less on probate and other transactions that may occur following person's death. Measure reduces state revenues; provides no replacement. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure phases out existing estate taxes, which will reduce state revenue by approximately \$17 million in liscal year 2013-14, approximately \$43 million in 2014-15, and approximately \$72 million in 2015-16 as Oregon's existing estate tax is phased out. Thereafter the measure will reduce state revenue by approximately \$120 million per year, depending upon growth in estate values.

This measure also prohibits all taxes on transfers of property between family members, and phases out existing taxes on those transfers. The current amount of those transfers, and the changes that might occur given elimination of taxes on those transfers are unknown, therefore the impact of this part of the measure is indeterminate.

VOTING INSTRUCTIONS ON BACK.

85 Amends Constitution: Allocates corporate income/excise tax "kicker" refund to additionally fund K through 12 public education

Result of "yes" vote: "Yes" vote allocates the corporate incom-and excise tax "kicker" refund to the General Fund to provide additional funding for K through 12 public education.

Result of "no" vote: "No" vote retains existing corporate in-come and excise tax "kicker" that requires refund to corporations when revenue exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more.

more.

Summary: Amends constitution. Before each biennium, the governor must prepare an estimate of revenues expected to be received by the General Fund for the next biennium. The General Fund is the primary funding source for schools, prisons, social services other state-funded programs/services. Current law requires an automatic "kacker refund to taxpayers of corporate income and excise tax revenue that exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more. Measure allocates the corporate income and excise tax "kicker" refund to the General Fund to provide additional funding for K through 12 public education. Measure does not change the constitutional personal income tax ricker" provision that requires a refund to individual supayers when personal income tax revenue exceeds estimated revenue by two percent or more. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: The financial impact of this mea-

JACKSON COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT NO.5

15-112 Jackson County Fire District No. 5 General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall Jackson County Fire District No. 5 be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$1,800,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: The \$1.8 million general obligation bond would all Jackson County Fire District 5 to improve, replace and standa ize apparatus and equipment at three stations (Phoenix, Taler and Ashland). The bonds would mature in not to exceed 10.5 years.

years.
The funds from the \$1.8 million general obligation bond levy would be used to purchase three fire engines, one water tender, fire equipment for these apparatus, and specialized equipment including a self-contained breathing apparatus air compressor, air packs, masks, bottles, and three sets of vehicle extrication tools for the new engines.

If approved, this measure would increase property taxes by approximately .14 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

The estimated tax for this measure is an estimate only, based on the best information available from the County Assessor at the time of the estimate.

CITY OF ASHLAND

15-113 Renewal of Local Option Tax Levy Funding for Ashland Library

Question: Shall Ashland levy up to \$.21 per \$1,000 assessed value for four years beginning July 1, 2013 for library operations? This measure renews current local option taxes.

Summary: Renewal of this tax will continue funding for enhanced services at the Ashland branch public library through June 30, 2017.

Up to \$.21 cents per \$1,000 of assessed property value may be levied if this measure passes. The average 2012 assessed value for a single family home in Ashland is approximately \$241,000. Such an average household could pay \$5.061 per year if this measure passes. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.

the county assessor at the time of the estimate.

Over the past four years Ashiand has levied just \$.19 per \$1000 of assessed properly value and that amount has generated the funds needed for enhanced services. If the full \$.21 cents is levied it is estimated this tax will generate up to \$439,110, in 2014, \$452,271 in 2015, \$465,851 in 2016 and \$479,821 in 2017. Pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) between the City of Ashland and Jackson County, these funds will be used to pay the county for enhanced library services beyond its base services.

Subject to the IGA, enhanced library services in Ashland include the following, at a minimum:

- 16 additional open hours per week
 Three additional full time employees or the equivalent
- Enhanced outreach library programs for teens, seniors and

15-116 Question on constitutional definition of "persons and campaign spending limits

and campaign spending limits

Question: Shall Ashland voters instruct Congress to amend U.S.

Constitution to grant only natural persons constitutional rights and limit campaign spending?

Summary: The Ashland City Council has placed this advisory question on the ballot to determine whether a majority of Ashland verify wishes to urge the U.S. Congress to initiate a new amendment to the U.S. constitutions o

Such a constitutional amendment would make it possible for Congress and state legislatures to enact limitations and public disclosure requirements on contributions and spending by and for candidates for elective office, campaign committees, and independent political committees and individuals.

CITY OF MEDFORD

15-115 Medford General Obligation Bonds to Finance

Ouestion: Shall Medford issue up to \$14,500,000 in general obligation bonds to pay for capital construction to City aquatic facilities? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure would grant City authority to sell general obligation bonds, in one or more series, up to \$14,500,000. City would use bond proceeds to finance the demolition, capital construction and capital improvement of two aquatic facilities, including new construction and improvements, for a year-round covered pool at Hawthome Park and a seasonal pool at Jackson Park, related capital costs, and to pay bond issuance costs. Approval of the bonds is estimated to result in a property tax levy of approximately \$0.15 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value per year. Each series of bonds would mature in no more than 21 years from their issuance date. Upon completion of the above listed purposes, City may use any remaining bond proceeds for any needed capital cost of the aquatic facilities. If bonds are approved, the City Council will increase the Park Utility Fee imposed on City Utility belis by \$0.73 per month to finance the costs of operating and maintaining the aquatic facilities. The increase to the Park Utility Fee will not take effect until the month following the opening of the first completed aquatic facilities.

CITY OF ROGUE RIVER

15-117 City of Rogue River Street Improvement General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the City issue bonds in an amount not exceeding \$1,600,000 to finance the costs of street improvements and repairs?

Summary: This measure authorizes the City to issue general obligation bonds, in one or more series, in an amount not exceeding \$1,600,000 to finance the costs of capital construction and capital improvements, including, but not limited to:

- constructing, improving and repairing City streets, including sidewalks, bicycle lanes, landscaping, lighting and all equipment and appurtenance's necessary, useful or convenient thereto (the "Project"); and,
- pay costs relating to the issuance of the bonds.

Upon completion of the Project, the City may use remaining bond proceeds for additional City capital construction or capital

Improvements.

Bonds would mature within 21 years from date of issuance.

Current Projects costs result in a levy of approximately \$.68 per \$1,000 of assessed value per year on real property within the City. Two City bond levies that currently result in a levy of approximately \$.49 per \$1,000 of assessed value will no longer be assessed after the 2012-2013 fiscal year. If the bond measure is approved, the estimated levy would cost approximately \$.19 per thousand more than the two expiring bond levies.

CITY OF SHADY COVE

15-118 City of Shady Cove General Obligation Bonds for Water System

Question: Shall the City issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$23,000,000 for a municipal water system? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure would provide funds to finance capital costs of a municipal water system through the construction of all or a portion of the system or the acquisition of all or a portion of Shady Cove Waterworks' assets. Bond proceeds may be used to acquire water and real property rights an to pay costs of issuing bonds.

The City will implement the project in phases and is applying for federal and state loans and grants. The City inlends to issue bonds for each phase and in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$23,000,000 and only for costs not covered by grants.

Bonds would mature in 40 years or less from issuance and may be issued in one or more series.

The City may choose to pay the bonds from user fees in combination with property taxes, with the majority of bonds being paid from user fees.

PROPOSED CITY OF WHITE CITY

15-114 Incorporation of the City of White City

Question: Shall White City become a city with a permanent tax rate limitation of \$1.45 per \$1.000 of assessed value?

rate limitation of \$1 45 per \$1,000 of assessed value?

Summary: This measure would make the rural unincorporated community of White City, as well as lands contiguous to that rural unincorporated community, into a city. It approved, the city in Jackson County would be located between the cities of Medford and Eagle Point, but primarity to the east of Highway 62, west of Bigham Brown Road, north of Justice Road, and south of Hoover Avenue. The city would serve approximately 8,550 residents. The city would serve approximately 8,550 residents. The city would serve approximately 8,550 residents. The city would serve approximately 8,550 residents.

The city would be governed by an elected five member City Council, one member of which would service as mayor. The city will take its authority from, and be governed by, the Cregon Revised Statutes. A home rule charter may subsequently be adopted by the city voters.

This measure authorizes a permanent tax rate limitation of \$1.45 per \$1,000 of assessed value on the nonexempt real property and taxable personal property within the city.

check your ballot!

Make sure you have completely filled in the ovals next to your choices.



wed, or if you vote both Yes and No on a measure, it is called an overvote

Your vote will not count for that candidate or measure





You do not have to vote on all contests Those you do vote on will still count.

Contact County Elections

to request a replacement ballot if:

- → your ballot is damaged or spoiled
- → you lose your ballot

call 1 866 ORE VOTE/673 8683

visit www.oregonvotes.gov

1 800 735 2900 for the hearing impaired