District STATE OF WISCONSIN	District Type Statewide	<b>Referendum Title</b> STATE REFERENDUM	<b>Referendum Text</b> QUESTION 1: "Creation of a Transportation Fund. Shall section 9 (2) of article IV and section 11 of article VIII of the constitution be created to require that revenues generated by use of the state transportation system be deposited into a transportation fund administered by a department of transportation for the exclusive purpose of funding Wisconsin's transportation systems and to prohibit any transfers or lapses from this fund?" YES NO
DOUGLAS COUNTY - 16	County	DOUGLAS COUNTY REFERENDUM QUESTION 1	QUESTION 1: "Shall the next State Legislature accept available federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage?" YES NO
DOUGLAS COUNTY - 16	County	DOUGLAS COUNTY REFERENDUM QUESTION 2	QUESTION 2: "Shall the State Asembly and the State Senate pass, and the governor sign, legislation setting the minimum wage in Wisconsin at \$10.10/hour, annually indexed to inflation, and abolishing the state tipped minimum wage of \$2.33/hour?" YES NO
CHIPPEWA COUNTY - 09	County	CHIPPEWA COUNTY REFERENDUM	Shall the governor accept federal funding for the expansion of Medicaid/Badgercare in Wisconsin to cover persons with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level? YES NO
CLARK COUNTY - 10	County	CLARK COUNTY REFERENDUM QUESTON 1	Question 1: Shall the Wisconsin governor sign to accept federal funding for the expansion of the Medicaid/Badgercare program in Wisconsin to cover persons up to 133% of the federal poverty level? Yes No
EAU CLAIRE COUNTY - 18	County	Eau Claire County Referendum Question #1	Question 1. Shall the State Assembly and the State Senate pass, and the governor sign, legislation setting the minimum wage in Wisconsin at \$10.10/hour, annually indexed to inflation, and abolishing the state tipped minimum wage of \$2.33/hour? YesNo
FLORENCE COUNTY - 19	County	FLORENCE COUNTY ADVISORY REFERENDUM	"Shall the Governor/State Legislature accept federal funding for the expansion of BadgerCare in Wisconsin?" With the following explanation language: "This is an advisory referendum only." A "yes" vote indicates that you support action by the Governor/State Legislature to accept federal funding for the expansion of Medicaid/BadgerCare in Wisconsin to cover persons and families mwith low income who are not currently eligible for BadgerCare. The Legislative Fiscal Bureau estimates that this would provide access to BadgerCare to 84,700 Wisconsin residents. A "no" vote indicates that you do not support accepting additional federal funding for the expansion of Medicaid/BadgerCare in Wisconsin. YES NO
IOWA COUNTY - 25	County	IOWA COUNTY REFERENDUM	"Should Iowa County continue to financially support Bloomfield in its commitment to provide high quality rehabilitation and nursing care to the citizens of Iowa County?" YES NO
IRON COUNTY - 26	County	COUNTY REFERENDUM	Shall the Governor/State Legislature accept federal funding for the expansion of BadgerCare in Wisconsin?         YES NO
JEFFERSON COUNTY - 28	County	Jefferson County Referendum	"Shall the State of Wisconsin accept available Federal Medicaid funds to provide access to BadgerCare to Wisconsin residents up to 133% of the Federal Poverty Level?" YES NO

KENOSHA	County	COUNTY	Should the State of Wisconsin increase the minimum wage to \$10.10 per hour? Yes
COUNTY - 30		REFERENDUM	No
LA CROSSE	County	LA CROSSE	QUESTION 1: "Shall the governor accept federal funding available for the expansion of Medicaid/BadgerCare in
COUNTY - 32		COUNTY	Wisconsin?" YES NO
		REFERENDUM	
		QUESTION #1	
LINCOLN	County	COUNTY	QUESTION 2: "Accepting Federal Medicaid Funds. Shall the Governor of the State of Wisconsin and the
COUNTY - 35		REFERENDUM	Wisconsin Legislature accept federal funding for Medicaid/BadgerCare to cover eligible citizens up to 138% of the
			federal poverty level (\$32,499 per year for a family of four)?" YES NO
MILWAUKEE	County	MILWAUKEE	"SHALL THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION BE AMENDED TO ESTABLISH THE FOLLOWING? 1.
COUNTY - 41		COUNTY	ONLY HUMAN BEINGS, NOT CORPORATIONS, ARE ENTITLED TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AND
		CORPORATION	2. MONEY IS NOT SPEECH, AND THEREFORE, REGULATING POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS AND
		AND CAMPAIGN	SPENDING IS NOT EQUIVALENT TO LIMITING POLITICAL SPEECH." YES NO
		FINANCING	
		REFERENDUM -	
		<b>QUESTION 1</b>	
ONEIDA	County	COUNTY	QUESTION #1: "SHALL THE NEXT LEGISLATURE ACCEPT AVAILABLE FEDERAL FUNDS FOR
COUNTY - 44		REFERENDUM	BADGERCARE?" YES NO
OUTAGAMIE	County	OUTAGAMIE	"Shall the next State Legislature accept available federal funds for expansion of Badgercare?"
COUNTY - 45		COUNTY	
		REFERENDUM	
CLARK	County	CLARK COUNTY	Question 2: Should the State of Wisconsin have a Voter Identification law that requires a voter to present a photo ID
COUNTY - 10		REFERENDUM	to verify the voter's identity in order to guarantee an honest outcome of elections? Yes No
		<b>QUESTION 2</b>	
EAU CLAIRE	County	Eau Claire County	Question 2. Shall the governor accept federal funding for the expansion of Medicaid/BadgerCare in Wisconsin to
COUNTY - 18		Referendum Question	cover persons up to 138% of the federal poverty level? Yes No
		#2	
LA CROSSE	County	LA CROSSE	QUESTION 2: "Should the Wisconsin State Legislature pass and the Governor sign legislation setting the
COUNTY - 32		COUNTY	minimum wage in Wisconsin at \$10.10/hour, annually indexed to inflation?" YES NO
		REFERENDUM	
		QUESTION #2	
PORTAGE	County	COUNTY	QUESTION 1: "Should the State of Wisconsin increase the minimum wage to \$10.10 per hour?"
COUNTY - 50		<b>REFERENDUM:</b>	
		MINIMUM WAGE	
PORTAGE	County	COUNTY	QUESTION 2: "Shall the next State Legislature accept all available federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that
COUNTY - 50		<b>REFERENDUM:</b>	thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage?"
		BADGERCARE	

DUNN COUNTY - 17	County	COUNTY ADVISORY REFERENDUM QUESTION 1	Shall the Governor and Legislature accept federal funding for the expansion of Medicaid/BadgerCare in Wisconsin to cover persons up to 133% of the federal poverty level to ensure that additional thousands of Wisconsin Citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage? YES NO
WOOD COUNTY - 72	County	County Referendum BadgerCare	QUESTION 1: "Shall the next State Legislature accept available Federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage?"
DUNN COUNTY - 17	County	COUNTY ADVISORY REFERENDUM QUESTION 2	"Shall the Constitution of the United States of America be amended to state that: 1. Only human beings, not corporations, unions, non-profits or similar associations, are endowed with constitutional rights, and; 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech." YES NO
MILWAUKEE COUNTY - 41	County	MILWAUKEE COUNTY BADGERCARE REFERENDUM - QUESTION 2	"SHALL THE NEXT STATE LEGISLATURE ACCEPT ALL AVAILABLE FEDERAL FUNDS FOR BADGERCARE TO ENSURE THAT THOUSANDS OF WISCONSIN CITIZENS HAVE ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE HEALTH COVERAGE? YES NO
WOOD COUNTY - 72	County	County Referendum Minimum Wage	QUESTION 2: "Shall the State Assembly and the State Senate pass, and the Governor sign, legislation setting the minimum wage in Wisconsin at \$10.10/hour?"
TOWN OF WOOD RIVER 07042	Town	MUNICIPAL REFERENDUM - CLERK & TREASURER	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK AND TOWN TREASURER IN THE TOWN OF WOOD RIVER BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
MILWAUKEE COUNTY - 41	County	MILWAUKEE COUNTY MINIMUM WAGE \$10.10 REFERENDUM - QUESTION 3	SHOULD THE STATE OF WISCONSIN INCREASE THE MINIMUM WAGE TO \$10.10 AN HOUR? YES NO
MILWAUKEE COUNTY - 41	County	MILWAUKEE COUNTY - COUNTY EXECUTIVE OR COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR REFERENDUM - QUESTION 4	"SHOULD WISCONSIN STATUTES BE AMENDED TO ALLOW MILWAUKEE COUNTY TO TRANSITION ITS MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS FROM AN ELECTED COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO A PROFESSIONAL COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR? YES NO
DANE COUNTY - 13	County	DANE COUNTY REFERENDUM #1	Should the State of WIsconsin increase the minimum wage to \$10.10 per hour? Yes No

ST. CROIX COUNTY - 56	County	HEALTH COVERAGE REFERENDUM	Shall the next State Legislature accept available federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage?
DANE COUNTY - 13	County	DANE COUNTY REFERENDUM #2	Shall the next Governor and State Legislature accept available federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage? Yes No
BAYFIELD COUNTY - 04	County	BAYFIELD COUNTY REFERENDUM	Shall the Governor/State Legislature accept federal funding for the expansion of BadgerCare in Wisconsin? Yes No
TOWN OF CRYSTAL LAKE - 03016	Town	TOWN OF CRYSTAL LAKE REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of the combined office of Town Clerk and Town Treasurer in the Town of Crystal Lake be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
CITY OF GREEN BAY - 05231	City	CITY OF GREEN BAY REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Green Bay adopt Resolution, which reads as follows: Whereas, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited political campaign spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Green Bay, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings - not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations - are endowed with Constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
TOWN OF BEAVER DAM - 14004	Town	TOWN OF BEAVER DAM REFERENDUM - QUESTION 1	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Beaver Dam be appointed by the Town Board? Yes No
TOWN OF WESTFORD - 14046	Town	TOWN OF WESTFORD REFERENDUM	Shall all candidates in the Town of Westford for elected offices be nominated at a nonpartisan primary? YES NO
VILLAGE OF FAIRCHILD - 18126	Village	Village of Fairchild Referendum	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Fairchild for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015 is limited to .068 percent, which results in a levy of \$36,776. Shall the Village of Fairchild be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015 by a total of 81.63 percent, which results in a levy of \$66,750? Yes No

CITY OF FOND DU LAC - 20226	City	CITY OF FOND DU LAC REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Fond du Lac adopt the following resolution? "Whereas, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law, allows unlimited spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country to support passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings – not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, non-profit organizations, or similar associations– are endowed with constitutional rights, and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort." YES NO
CITY OF	City	CITY OF RIPON	Shall the City of Ripon adopt the following resolution: BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of
RIPON - 20276		REFERENDUM	Ripon, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings – not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations – are endowed with constitutional rights, and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. Do you approve of this resolution? YES NO
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK IN THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN BE
BROOKLYN -		BROOKLYN	APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
23006		REFERENDUM	
VILLAGE OF	Village	VILLAGE OF	AS A NON-BINDING QUESTION, SHALL THE ALBANY WATER UTILITY CONTINUE TO FLUORIDATE
ALBANY -		ALBANY	THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY FOR THE VILLAGE OF ALBANY? YES NO
23101		REFERENDUM	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk or Town Treasurer, or both; or the combined office of Town
MANCHESTE		MANCHESTER	Clerk and Town Treasurer in the Town of Manchester be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
R - 27032		REFERENDUM	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE COMBINED OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK AND TOWN TREASURER
PALMYRA -		PALMYRA	IN THE TOWN OF PALMYRA BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
28024		REFERENDUM	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	"Shall the persons holding the offices of Town Clerk and Town Treasurer in the Town of Sullivan be appointed by
SULLIVAN -		SULLIVAN	the Town Board?" YES NO
28026		CLERK/TREASURE	
		R REFERENDUM	

VILLAGE OF PADDOCK LAKE - 30171	0	MUNICPAL REFERENDUM	Shall golf carts be allowed to operate on Village of Paddock Lake paved streets? YES NO
VILLAGE OF SILVER LAKE - 30181	•	VILLAGE OF SILVER LAKE REFERENDUM QUESTION #1	SHOULD THE SILVER LAKE VILLAGE BOARD BE PROHIBITED FROM ENTERING INTO ANY AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF SALEM, WISCONSIN FOR ANY SHARING OF FIRE DEPARTMENT OR RESCUE SERVICES, OTHER THAN THE USUAL MABAS AGREEMENT? YES NO
CITY OF KENOSHA - 30241	City	MUNICIPAL REFERENDUM	QUESTION 1: "Shall the next State Legislature accept available federal funds for BadgerCare to ensure that thousands of Wisconsin citizens have access to quality and affordable health coverage?" YES NO
TOWN OF HOLLAND - 32016	Town	TOWN OF HOLLAND REFERENDUM	"Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Town of Holland for the tax to be imposed for the next calendar year, 2014, is limited to 3.36%, which results in a levy of \$447,312. Shall the Town of Holland be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy limit by 9%, which results in a levy of \$487,570 for fiscal year 2014?" YES NO
TOWN OF CENTERVILL E - 36004	Town	TOWN OF CENTERVILLE REFERENDUM	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK IN THE TOWN OF CENTERVILLE BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
TOWN OF FRANKLIN - 36010	Town	TOWN OF FRANKLIN REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Franklin, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin, be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
TOWN OF LITTLE RICE - 44012	Town	MUNICIPALITY REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Per Ordinance No. 2014-01Town Board of Little Rice: "Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk, in the Town of Little Rice, be appointed by the Town Board?' YES NO
TOWN OF BUCHANAN - 45006	Town	TOWN OF BUCHANAN LEVY REFERENDUM	Under State law, the increase in the levy of the Town of Buchanan for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.18% which results in a levy of \$1,533,325. Shall the Town of Buchanan be allowed to exceed this limit by up to \$350,000.00 per year (which for 2015 equals a total increase of 23%) which results in a levy of \$1,883,325 for 2015 for the exclusive purpose of paying for road paving & maintenance in the Town of Buchanan? YES NO
TOWN OF CICERO - 45010	Town	TOWN REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Cicero be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
TOWN OF GRAFTON - 46008	Town	TOWN OF GRAFTON LEVY INCREASE REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Town of Grafton for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year (2015) is limited to \$11,033 or .75 percent, which results in a new levy amount of \$1,473.355. Shall the Town of Grafton be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal yar (2015) and on an ongong basis, by \$125,000, an additional 8.55 percent increase, for a total levy increase of 9.30 percent, which results in a levy of \$1,598.355, for the increase in the Highway Budget? YES NO

TOWN OF EUREKA - 49020 VILLAGE OF	Town Village	REFERENDUM QUESTION REGARDING TOWN CLERK VILLAGE	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK IN THE TOWN OF EUREKA BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO Shall the Village of Park Ridge adopt Resolution R-17-14, which reads as follows: WHEREAS, the Supreme
PARK RIDGE - 50171		REFERENDUM: MOVE TO AMEND	Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; and BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the Village of Park Ridge, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings-not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations-are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
CITY OF JANESVILLE - 54241	City	CITY OF JANESVILLE REFERENDUM - QUESTION 1	"Under state law, the increase in the levy of the City of Janesville for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year (2015) is limited to .908%, which results in a levy of \$29,712,286. Shall the City of Janesville be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year (2015), by a total of 4.039%, which results in a levy of \$30,912,286? If this referendum is approved, the amount of approved increase in the levy will be available to the City only through the year 2019." Yes No
TOWN OF HUDSON - 56020	Town	TOWN OF HUDSON REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Town of Hudson for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.303% (plus adjustments), which results in a levy of \$847,388. Shall the Town of Hudson be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by a total of 47% (\$398,272), which results in a levy of \$1,245,660? YES NO
TOWN OF ST. JOSEPH - 56030	Town	TOWN OF JOSEPH REFERENDUM	"Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Town of St. Joseph for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 0.24%, which results in a levy of \$955,111.00. Shall the Town of St. Joseph be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, by a total of 29.84%, which results in a levy of \$1,240,111.00?" Shall the Town of St. Joseph be allowed to exceed the levy limit? YESNO
TOWN OF DELTON - 57008	Town	TOWN OF DELTON REFERENDUM	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE COMBINED OFFICE OF CLERK-TREASURER IN THE TOWN OF DELTON BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
TOWN OF SENECA - 59042	Town	SENECA REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Seneca be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO

TOWN OF WASHINGTO	Town	WASHINGTON REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Washington be appointed by the Town Board?         YES NO
N - 59044		QUESTION #1	
TOWN OF	Town	WAUKECHON	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk and the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town
WAUKECHON		REFERENDUM	of Waukechon be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
- 59046			
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	QUESTION 1: Shall the persons holding the office of town clerk and town treasurer in the Town of Greeenwood
GREENWOOD		GREENWOOD	be appointed by the town board?YESNO
63016		REFERENDUM	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE COMBINED OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK AND TOWN TREASURER
LINCOLN -		LINCOLN	IN THE TOWN OF LINCOLN BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO
64014		REFERENDUM	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF POLK	QUESTION 1: "Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Town of Polk, Washington County, Wisconsin for
POLK - 67018		REFERENDUM	the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2014 (to be collected in 2015), is limited to the allowable percentage
			increase based on new construction, which results in a levy of approximately \$0.57. Shall the Town of Polk be
			allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2014 (collected in 2015), and on an
			ongoing basis thereafter, by an additional \$0.50; a total of 88%, which results in a levy total of \$1.07?" YES
			NO
VILLAGE OF	Village	CHENEQUA	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Chenequa for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year
CHENEQUA -		VILLAGE	(2015) is limited to \$26,298 or 1.55 percent, which results in a new levy amount of \$1,722,913. QUESTION 1:
68111		REFERENDUM	Shall the Village of Chenequa be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year (2015)
			and on an ongoing basis, by \$85,284, an additional 4.95 percent increase, for a total levy increase of 6.58 percent,
			which results in a levy of \$1,808,197, for the increases associated with operating costs and personnel costs? YES
			NO
TOWN OF	Town	Appointment of	QUESTION 1: Shall the person holding the office of town clerk in the Town of Springwater be appointed by the
SPRINGWATE		Town Clerk	town board? Yes No
R - 70032			
TOWN OF	Town	Appointment of TN	QUESTION 1: Shall the persons holding the offices of Town Clerk and Town Treasurer in the Town of Warren be
WARREN -		Clerk & TN Treas	appointed by the Town Board? YESNO
70034			
VILLAGE OF	Village	Exceed Levy Limit	QUESTION 1: Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Lohrville for the tax to be imposed for the
LOHRVILLE -			2014 year is limited to 0% which results in a total levy of \$6974.00. Shall the Village Board for the Village of
70146			Lohrville be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy by \$20,000.00, for a specific levy of \$26,974.00 per
			year, commencing with the 2014 year and continuing for each year thereafter, for the sole purpose of maintaining
			and improving roads within the Village limits? Yes No

CITY OF MENASHA - MAIN - 71251	City	CITY OF MENASHA REFERENDUM QUESTION #1	Shall the City of Menasha adopt Resolution R-17-14, which reads as follows: WHEREAS, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; and BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Menasha, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings-not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations-are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort.
CITY OF NEENAH - 71261	City	CITY OF NEENAH REFERENDUM QUESTION #1	"Shall the City of Neenah adopt Resolution 2014-15, which supports increasing the minimum wage in the State of Wisconsin to \$10.10 per hour". <u>Yes</u> No
TOWN OF DUNBAR - 38010	Town	TOWN OF DUNBAR REFERENDUM	Shall the position holding the office of Town Clerk/Treasurer in the Town of Dunbar be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
CITY OF ASHLAND - MAIN - 02201	City	CITY OF ASHLAND REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Ashland be authorized to issue General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$3,070,000 for the purpose of constructing and equipping a fire station? Yes No
VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN - MAIN - 13109	Village	VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	SHOULD THE VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN CONTINUE A LOCAL MUNICIPAL COURT SYSTEM? YES NO
CITY OF MIDDLETON - 13255	City	CITY OF MIDDLETON REFERENDUM	SHALL THE CITY OF MIDDLETON STORM WATER UTILITY BE PERMITTED TO CHARGE CUSTOMERS OF THE STORM WATER UTILITY AN ANNUAL CHARGE UP TO \$15.00 PER EQUIVALENT RUNOFF UNIT FROM EACH PROPERTY FOR MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING STORM WATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES WITHOUT REDUCING THE LEVY LIMIT FOR THE CHARGES OF THE STORM WATER UTILITY? YES NO
TOWN OF BEAVER DAM - 14004	Town	TOWN OF BEAVER DAM REFERENDUM - QUESTION 2	Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Beaver Dam be appointed by the Town Board? Yes No
TOWN OF RIPON - 20034	Town	TOWN TREASURER APPOINTMENT	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN TREASURER IN THE TOWN OF RIPON BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YES NO

CUBA CITY - MAIN - 22211	City Town	COMMUNITY CENTER BORROWING TOWN OF SALEM REFERENDUM QUESTION	Should the Common Council of the City of Cuba City borrow up to \$1.8 million by the issuance of general obligation bonds or other bonds as appropriate for the purpose of funding the construction of the Cuba City Community Center? YESNO         Shall the Salem Town Board adopt an ordinance which would authorize the operation of all terrain vehicles on certain Town roads designated as ATV routes?YESNO
SILVER LAKE - 30181		VILLAGE OF SILVER LAKE REFERENDUM QUESTION #2	SHOULD THE VILLAGE OF SILVER LAKE, KENOSHA COUNTY, WISCONSIN DISSOLVE? YES NO
VILLAGE OF WEST SALEM - 32191	•	VILLAGE OF WEST SALEM REFERENDUM QUESTION	<sup>1</sup> UNDER STATE LAW, THE INCREASE IN THE LEVY OF THE VILLAGE OF WEST SALEM FOR THE TAX TO BE IMPOSED FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR 2014, IS LIMITED TO 2.36% WHICH RESULTS IN A LEVY OF \$1,146,219.00. SHALL THE VILLAGE OF WEST SALEM BE ALLOWED TO EXCEED THIS LIMIT AND INCREASE THE LEVY FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR 2014, BY A TOTAL OF 25%, WHICH SHALL RESULT IN A LEVY OF \$1,399,740.00? (THE VILLAGE BOARD INTENDS THAT THE LEVY BE APPLIED ON AN ONGOING BASIS BY INCLUDING IT IN THE BASE USED TO CALCULATE THE LIMIT FOR 2015 AND ALL THE YEARS THAT FOLLOW.) YESNO
TOWN OF ELCHO - 34008	Town	TOWN OF ELCHO REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Elcho be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 1	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 10	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO

Village of Weston - Ward 11 Village Of Weston - Ward 12	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village Of Weston - Ward 13	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 2	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 3	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 4	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO

Village of Weston - Ward 5 Village of	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM VILLAGE OF	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year,
Weston - Ward 6		WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 7	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 8	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston - Ward 9	Ward	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO
Village of Weston	Municipalit y	VILLAGE OF WESTON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIO N REFERENDUM	Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Weston for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year, 2015, is limited to 1.16%, which results in a levy of \$4,641,264. Shall the Village of Weston be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year, 2015, and on an ongoing basis, by \$60,000 per year, to pay for the cost of providing public transportation (which for 2015 equals an additional 1.308% for a total increase of 2.468% and results in a total levy of \$4,701,264, which sum does not include other exemptions from the levy limit)? YES NO

TOWN OF MIDDLE INLET - 38018	Town		Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk/Treasurer in the Town of Middle Inlet be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
TOWN OF LITTLE RICE - 44012	Town	MUNICIPALITY REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Per Ordinance No. 2014-02, Town Board of Little Rice: "Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer, in the Town of Little Rice, be appointed by the Town Board?" YES NO
VILLAGE OF STURTEVANT - 52181	Village	VILLAGE OF STURTEVANT MUNICIPAL REFERENDUM	"Under state law, the increase in the levy of the Village of Sturtevant for the tax to be imposed for the next fiscal year (2015) is limited to .17 percent, which results in a levy of \$2,720,040. Shall the Village of Sturtevant be allowed to exceed this limit and increase the levy for the next fiscal year (2015), for a total of five years, by a total of 1.8 percent, which results in a 2015 levy of \$2,764,301?" YES NO
TOWN OF FREEDOM - 57016	Town	TOWN OF FREEDOM REFERENDUM - QUESTION 1	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Freedom be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
TOWN OF WASHINGTO N - 59044	Town	WASHINGTON REFERENDUM QUESTION #2	Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Washington be appointed by the Town Board?         YES NO
TOWN OF MITCHELL - 60012	Town	REFERENDUM, TOWN OF MITCHELL, QUESTION #1	Currently in the Town of Mitchell the Town Clerk and Town Treasurer are elected and are separate positions. They each serve a term of two years, which is elected during the April Election in Spring of the odd numbered years. The residents will be voting on the following questions as to: Do the residents want to have the Clerk and Treasurer be appointed by the board effective April 21, 2015, or continue to have them elected by the residents of the Town of Mitchell. QUESTION 1: "Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Mitchell be appointed by the Town Board?" YES $< > NO < >$
CITY OF LAKE GENEVA - 65246	City	CITY OF LAKE GENEVA MUNICIPAL REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Lake Geneva, Walworth County, Wisconsin, be authorized to spend an amount not to exceed \$6,999,995.00 to construct a public parking structure on tax parcels ZOP00250 and ZOP00251 located at 818 Geneva Street which amount includes all costs to acquire lands and easements, relocate existing infrastructure, demolish existing infrastructure, and to construct the public parking structure paid for by funds from the Tax Incremental District #4? Yes No
CITY OF MENASHA - MAIN - 71251	City	CITY OF MENASHA REFERENDUM QUESTION #2	Should the State of Wisconsin increase the State minimum wage to \$10.10 per hour? Yes No

CITY OF NEENAH - 71261	City	CITY OF NEENAH REFERENDUM QUESTION #2	Shall the City of Neenah adopt Resolution R-17-14, which reads as follows: WHEREAS, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; and BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Neenah, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings-not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations-are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
TOWN OF SIOUX CREEK - 03040	Town	TOWN OF SIOUX CREEK REFERENDUM	Shall the Town of Sioux Creek combine the offices of Town Clerk and Town Treasurer and make the combined position an appointement by the town board? YES NO
VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN - MAIN - 13109	Village	VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	SHOULD THE VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN MUNICIPAL COURT MERGE WITH THE VILLAGE OF BELLEVILLE MUNICIPAL COURT OR ANOTHER MUNICIPAL COURT? YES NO
TOWN OF RIPON - 20034	Town	TOWN CLERK APPOINTMENT	SHALL THE PERSON HOLDING THE OFFICE OF TOWN CLERK IN THE TOWN OF RIPON BE APPOINTED BY THE TOWN BOARD? YESNO
TOWN OF FREEDOM - 57016	Town	TOWN OF FREEDOM REFERENDUM - QUESTION 2	Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Freedom be appointed by the Town Board? YES NO
TOWN OF MITCHELL - 60012	Town	REFERENDUM, TOWN OF MITCHELL, QUESTION #2	Currently in the Town of Mitchell the Town Clerk and Town Treasurer are elected and are separate positions. They each serve a term of two years, which is elected during the April Election in Spring of the odd numbered years. The residents will be voting on the following questions as to: Do the residents want to have the Clerk and Treasurer be appointed by the board effective April 21, 2015, or continue to have them elected by the residents of the Town of Mitchell. QUESTION 2: "Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Mitchell be appointed by the Town Board?" YES < $>NO < >$
TOWN OF ARCADIA - 62004	Town	TOWN OF ARCADIA REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the combined office of town clerk and town treasurer in the Town of Arcadia be appointed by the town board?

VILLAGE OF	Village	VILLAGE OF	SHOULD THE VILLAGE OF BROOKLYN MUNICIPAL COURT BE ABANDONED AND ADMINISTERED
BROOKLYN -		BROOKLYN	BY CIRCUIT COURTS IN DANE AND GREEN COUNTIES? YES NO
MAIN - 13109		<b>REFERENDUM</b> -	
		<b>QUESTION #3</b>	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk in the Town of Sumpter be appointed by the Town Board? YES
SUMPTER -		SUMPTER	NO
57034		REFERENDUM -	
		<b>QUESTION 1</b>	
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	Shall the person holding the office of Town Treasurer in the Town of Sumpter be appointed by the Town Board?
SUMPTER -		SUMPTER	YES NO
57034		<b>REFERENDUM</b> -	
		<b>QUESTION 2</b>	
CITY OF WAUSAU - 37291	City	CITY OF WAUSAU REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Wausau adopt resolution 14-0811, which reads as follows: "Whereas, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited political campaign spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Wausau, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings - not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations - are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort." YES NO
TOWN OF	Town	TOWN OF	SHOULD THE TOWN OF HOLLAND CONSTRUCT A NEW TOWN HALL BUILDING AND SITE
HOLLAND -		HOLLAND	PREPARATION WITH AN ESTIMATED COST OF \$180,000? YES NO
05018		REFERENDUM	

CITY OF STOUGHTON - 13281	City	CITY OF STOUGHTON REFERENDUM	Shall the City of Stoughton adopt Resolution, which reads as follows: Whereas, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited political campaign spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Stoughton, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1.
			Only human beings - not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations - are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
Town of Brussels	Municipalit y	BRUSSELS - B.U.G. FIRE DEPARTMENT	Shall the Town of Brussels have the authority to issue general obligation bonds in combination with the Towns of Gardner and Union in a total sum not to exceed \$4,285,000, or to borrow its share (\$790,215) of such amount from another source if that is more beneficial, to fund the construction of a new B.U.G. Fire/EMS Station to be located on CTH C near STH 57 in the Town of Brussels and for the remodeling of and addition to the B.U.G. Fire Station located in the Town of Gardner?
Town of Gardner	Municipalit y	GARDNER - B.U.G. FIRE DEPARTMENT	Shall the Town of Gardner have the authority to either issue general obligation bonds in combination with the Towns of Brussels and Union in a total sum not to exceed \$4,285,000, or to borrow its share (\$2,110,327) of such amount from another source if that is more beneficial, to fund the construction of a new B.U.G. Fire/EMS Station to be located on CTH C near STH 57 in the Town of Brussels and remodeling and addition of the B.U.G. Fire Station located in the Town of Gardner?
Town of Union	Municipalit y	UNION - B.U.G. FIRE DEPARTMENT	Shall the Town of Union have the authority to either issue general obligation bonds in combination with the Towns of Brussels and Gardner in a total sum not to exceed \$4,285,000, or to borrow its share (\$1,384,458) of such amount from another source if that is more beneficial, to fund the construction of a new B.U.G. Fire/EMS Station to be located on CTH C near STH 57 in the Town of Brussels and the remodeling and addition of the B.U.G. Fire Station located in the Town of Gardner?

CITY OF APPLETON - MAIN - 45201	City	CITY OF APPLETON REFERENDUM Q-1	Shall the City of Appleton adopt Resolution, which reads as follows: Whereas, the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission and related case law allows unlimited political campaign spending to influence local, state, and federal elections; BE IT RESOLVED, that "We the People" of the City of Appleton, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with the Move to Amend campaign and communities across the country supporting passage of an amendment to the United States Constitution stating: 1. Only human beings - not corporations, limited liability companies, unions, nonprofit organizations, or similar associations - are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contributions and spending being political speech. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct our state and federal representatives to enact resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
CITY OF APPLETON - MAIN - 45201	City	CITY OF APPLETON REFERENDUM Q-2	Shall the City of Appleton adopt a resolution which supports increasing the minimum wage in the State of Wisconsin to \$10.10 per hour? YESNO
TOWN OF PRIMROSE - 13048	Town	TOWN CLERK REFERENDUM	Shall the person holding the office of Clerk in the Town of Primrose be appointed by the town board? Yes No
VILLAGE OF OREGON - 13165	Village	VILLAGE OF OREGON MOVE TO AMEND	Shall the Village of Oregon adopt the following Resolution? RESOLVED, the people of the Village of Oregon, Wisconsin, call for reclaiming democracy from the corrupting effects of undo corporate influence by amending the U.S. Constitution to establish: 1. Only human beings - not corporations, unions, non-profits or similar associations - are endowed with constitutional rights; and 2. Money is not speech, and, therefore, regulating political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech. BE IF FURTHER RESOLVED, that we hereby instruct are state and federal representatives to inact Resolutions and legislation to advance this effort. YES NO
TOWN OF MARENGO - 02016	Town	TOWN OF MARENGO REFERENDUM	Shall the person(s) holding the office of Town Clerk and Town Treasurer in the Town of Marengo be appointed by the town board? YES NO
TOWN OF KEYSTONE - 04028	Town		Shall the person holding the office of Town Clerk/Treasurer in the Town of Keystone be appointed by the Town Board instead of being elected in the spring election every other year? Yes No
ROCK COUNTY - 54 ROCK	County County	ROCK COUNTY QUESTION 1 ROCK COUNTY	Shall the Governor and State Legislature accept Affordable Care Act Federal Medicaid funds earmarked to enhance       BadgerCare?         Should the State of Wisconsin increase the minimum wage to \$10.10 per hour?
COUNTY - 54		<b>QUESTION 2</b>	

CITY OF MILTON - 54257	City	CITY OF MILTON QUESTION 1	"Shall the City of Milton amend the Charter Ordinance Article III Division 2 to change the title of city administrator / finance director to city administrator and amend the Charter Ordinance Section 3-30 to include, as additional duties of the city administrator, 'directs and/or coordinates economic development activities for the City of Milton,' and 'reviews and ensures proper administration of all city contract'?" YES NO
Durand	Unified School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF DURAND REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the School District of Durand, Buffalo, Dunn and Pepin Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$17,550,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing additions to and renovating Caddie Woodlawn Elementary School and the Middle/High School; demolishing a portion of Arkansaw Elementary School and completing renovations and improvements for a gymnasium, meeting rooms, a concession stand, restrooms and the alternative school; completing related site improvements; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO
Durand	Unified School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF DURAND REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the School District of Durand, Buffalo, Dunn and Pepin Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of making improvements to the District's football field and track? YES NO
Manitowoc Public	Unified School District	MANITOWOC PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	"Shall the Manitowoc Public School District, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, for the 2014-2015 school year and thereafter by \$1,000,000, for recurring purposes to maintain current programs and services?" YES NO
Manitowoc Public	Unified School District	MANITOWOC PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	"Shall the Manitowoc Public School District, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, for the 2014-2015 school year and thereafter by \$600,000, for recurring purposes to address major maintenance and security needs?" YES NO
Manitowoc Public	Unified School District	MANITOWOC PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #3	"Shall the Manitowoc Public School District, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, for the 2014-2015 school year and thereafter by \$400,000, for recurring purposes to update classroom technology and replace aging computers?" YES NO

New London	Unified School District	OF NEW LONDON REFERENDUM	Shall the following Resolution be approved? RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SCHOOL DISTRICT BUDGET TO EXCEED REVENUE LIMIT FOR NON-RECURRING PURPOSES BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the School District of New London, Waupaca, Outagamie and Winnebago Counties, Wisconsin, that the revenues included in the School District budget be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$500,000 for the 2014-2015 school year, \$500,000 for the 2015-2016 school year, \$500,000 for the 2016-2017 school year, and \$500,000 for the 2017-2018 school year for non-recurring purposes consisting of roofs and facilities maintenance, ensuring safe and secure buildings and maximizing student achievement efforts. YESNO
Oconto Unified	Unified School District		BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Oconto Unified School District, Oconto County, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$7,600,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of district-wide site improvement, building renovation and maintenance projects and equipment acquisition related to said projects; and district-wide educational initiatives including renovations to existing classroom facilities, acquisition of new tech ed equipment and acquisition of technology to provide new learning opportunities and programs for students. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the Oconto Unified School District be approved? YES NO
Oconto Unified	Unified School District	OCONTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Oconto Unified School District, Oconto County, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of installing fire sprinkler systems in all District buildings. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the Oconto Unified School District be approved? YES NO
Racine Unified	Unified School District	RACINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Racine Unified School District, Racine County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$8,500,000 for the 2014-2015 school year and for each of the succeeding 14 school years thereafter, for non-recurring purposes consisting of building maintenance, safety and security improvements, building modernization, technology enhancement, and debt service for new building projects? YES NO
Whitewater Unified	Unified School District	WHITEWATER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Whitewater Unified School District, Jefferson, Rock and Walworth Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$1,200,000 a year beginning with the 2015-2016 school year through the 2018-2019 school year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of the following objectives: maintaining elementary class sizes, student support services, comprehensive instructional programs, co-curricular programs, technology infrastructure and facilities maintenance? YES NO

Altoona	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALTOONA REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of Altoona, Eau Claire County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$23,000,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing and equipping a new energy efficient elementary school on a school building site of approximately 56 acres and located on the south side of County Highway KB at the eastern border of the City of Altoona; and making capital maintenance, safety and health-related building and site improvements to the current campus? YES NO
Black River Falls	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF BLACK RIVER FALLS REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of Black River Falls, Jackson, Clark and Monroe Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$22,500,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of a school building and improvement program consisting of construction and equipping of a new elementary school on District-owned land at the Forrest Street site; construction and equipping of an addition to the High School; renovation of existing High School science rooms and labs; secure entrance renovation at Forrest Street and Gebhardt Elementary Schools, the Middle School, and the High School; construction of a parking lot at Forrest Street Elementary School; demolition of Third Street Elementary School and redevelopment of the site; and removal of portable classrooms at Forrest Street and Gebhardt Elementary Schools? YES NO
Delavan-Darien	Common School District	DELAVAN - DARIEN SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Delavan-Darien School District be authorized to exceed state revenue limits by \$1,250,000 each year for a period of three years on a non-recurring basis, commencing with the 2014-15 school year and ending with the 2016-17 school year, in order to pay operating costs to support the District's educational programs and services identified in the District's community-developed strategic plan that are needed to provide quality opportunities for each student to achieve his or her academic and personal potential? YES NO
Dodgeville	Common School District	DODGEVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Dodgeville School District, Iowa County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$48,000,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing and equipping a new high school; acquiring land for the new high school building; remodeling the existing high school to repurpose the existing building for other classroom and related instructional, support and administrative needs to compensate for the closure of Ridgeway Elementary School; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO
East Troy Community	Common School District	EAST TROY COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the East Troy Community School District, Walworth and Waukesha Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$20,800,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of renovations and additions at the Leona Doubek Elementary School, including improvements for the relocation of the administrative offices and alternative education program; remodeling, renovation and improvement projects at the Middle School; transportation safety improvements and site work at Prairie View Elementary School; renovations, remodeling and additions at the High School; demolition of Chester Byrnes Elementary School; and acquisition of furnishings, fixtures and equipment at all District buildings? YES NO

Eleva-Strum	Common School District		Shall the School District of Eleva-Strum, Trempealeau and Eau Claire Counties, Wisconsin for the 2015-2016 school year through the 2016-2017 school year be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$700,000 a year, for nonrecurring purposes consisting of operational expenses? YES NO
Eleva-Strum	Common School District		Shall the School District of Eleva-Strum, Trempealeau and Eau Claire Counties, Wisconsin combine the three existing school locations into one campus site for grades PK-12 by building and equipping a new elementary school onto Central High School through the issuance of general obligation bonds pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes? YES NO
Evansville Community	Common School District	EVANSVILLE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the following resolution be approved? Be it resolved by the School Board of the Evansville Community School District, Rock, Dane and Green Counties, Wisconsin, that, for the purpose of funding costs of curriculum programming and technology initiatives in the school district, the school district budget for each of the 2014-15 through 2018-19 school years shall include the following amounts in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91(2m), Wisconsin Statutes: for the 2014-15 school year an amount of \$340,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2015-16 school year an amount of \$445,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2016-17 school year an amount of \$480,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2018-19 school year an amount of \$496,200.00 on a nonrecurring basis. YES NO
Evansville Community	Common School District	EVANSVILLE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the following resolution be approved? Be it resolved by the School Board of the Evansville Community School District, Rock, Dane and Green Counties, Wisconsin, that, for the purpose of funding costs of enhancing building safety/security and maintaining school district facilities, the school district budget for each of the 2014-15 through 2018-19 school years shall include the following amounts in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91(2m), Wisconsin Statutes: for the 2014-15 school year an amount of \$350,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2015-16 school year an amount of \$460,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2016-17 school year an amount of \$498,897.00 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2017-18 school year an amount of \$500,000.00 on a nonrecurring basis; and for the 2018-19 school year an amount of \$506,200.00 on a nonrecurring basis. YESNO
Florence County	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF FLORENCE COUNTY REFERENDUM	Shall the School Board of the School District of Florence County be authorized to exceed the revenue limit under Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes beginning with the 2015-16 school year through the 2017-18 school year on a nonrecurring basis by an amount of \$900,000 each year for the purpose of paying District operation and maintenance costs as provided in the foregoing resolution? YES NO

Gilman	Common School District	GILMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Gilman School District be allowed to exceed the revenue limit specified in § 121.91, Wis. Stats., by up to \$325,000.00 in the 2014-2015 school year, \$380,000.00 in the 2015-2016 school year, \$435,000.00 in the 2016-2017 school year, and \$490,000.00 in the 2017-2018 school year, on a nonrecurring basis for the purpose of maintaining current levels of educational programming, expenditures and operations? YES NO
Glendale-River Hills	Common School District	GLENDALE-RIVER HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Glendale-River Hills School District, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$980,000 a year, for the 2015-2016 school year through the 2019-2020 school year, for non-recurring purposes in order to maintain District programs? YESNO
Black Hawk	Common School District		Shall the School District of Black Hawk, Green and Lafayette Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, for the 2014-2015 school year and thereafter by \$250,000 for recurring purposes consisting of updating technology, transportation costs, maintaining current educational programming and other operating costs? YES NO
Saint Croix Central	Common School District	Saint Croix Central School District Referendum – Question #1	INITIAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$23,995,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Saint Croix Central School District, St. Croix County, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$23,995,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of addition, renovation, remodeling and site improvement projects at each of the District's school buildings to provide additional space for classroom, instructional, performance and physical education activities; reconfiguring school entrances for safety and security purposes; parking lot expansion including acquisition of approximately one-half acre of land adjacent to the middle school for said purpose; constructing a new bus garage; renovating a district- owned building for district offices and alternative education; and equipment acquisition related to said projects. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the Saint Croix Central School District be approved? YES NO
Saint Croix Central	Common School District	Saint Croix Central School District Referendum – Question #2	RESOLUTION TO EXCEED REVENUE CAP COMMENCING WITH THE 2015-16 SCHOOL YEAR BY AN AMOUNT OF \$300,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Saint Croix Central School District, St. Croix County, Wisconsin, that the final school district budget shall include an amount not to exceed \$300,000 in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes each year on a recurring basis beginning with the 2015-16 school year for the purpose of paying District operation and maintenance costs. Shall the School Board of the Saint Croix Central School District be authorized to exceed the revenue limit under Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes beginning with the 2015-16 school pistrict operation and recurring basis by an amount of \$300,000 each year for the purpose of paying District operation and maintenance costs as provided in the foregoing resolution? YES NO

Hillsboro	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF HILLSBORO REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the School District of Hillsboro, Vernon, Richland, Juneau, Sauk and Monroe Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$250,000 for the 2014-2015 school year, by an additional \$100,000 for the 2015-2016 school year tor a total of \$350,000, and by an additional \$150,000 for the 2016-2017 school year for a total of \$500,000 for the 2016-2017 school year and thereafter, for recurring purposes consisting of maintaining educational programming and maintenance? YESNO
Hillsboro	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF HILLSBORO REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the School District of Hillsboro, Vernon, Richland, Juneau, Sauk and Monroe Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$1,250,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of District buildings and grounds improvements, including improvements to the high school library, parking lots and athletic field and surfaces? YES NO
Hudson	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF HUDSON REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	INITIAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$99,965,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the School District of Hudson, St. Croix County, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$99,965,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of constructing a new grade 10-12 high school including athletic fields; acquisition of approximately 67 acres of land located north of Red Brick Road and east of County Highway F as a site for the new high school; development of a portion of the new high school campus on the adjacent River Crest Elementary property; remodeling, renovating and mechanical system upgrades at the existing high school to convert it to a grade 8-9 building and relocating district administrative and support services office space to said building; and equipment acquisition related to said projects. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the School District of Hudson be approved? YES NO
Hudson	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF HUDSON REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	INITIAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$6,550,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the School District of Hudson, St. Croix County, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$6,550,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of constructing and equipping an auditorium at the new high school. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the School District of Hudson be approved? YES NO
Hustisford	Common School District	HUSTISFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION NUMBER I	Shall the Hustisford School District, Dodge County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, for the 2014-2015 school year and thereafter by \$240,000, for recurring purposes consisting of maintaining current programs, services and staffing? YES NO

Hustisford	Common School District	HUSTISFORD SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION NUMBER II	Shall the Hustisford School District, Dodge County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$150,000 a year beginning in the 2014-2015 school year and ending in the 2017-2018 school year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of maintaining current programs and staffing, expanding educational opportunities, and purchasing and installing instructional technology? YES NO
Loyal	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF LOYAL REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of Loyal, Clark County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$195,000 for the 2014-2015 school year for non-recurring purposes consisting of building maintenance, and by \$395,000 for the 2015-16 school year through the 2018-2019 school year for non-recurring purposes consisting of school operational expenses? YES NO
North Lake	Common School District	NORTH LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the North Lake School District, Waukesha County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$2,260,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of completing capital maintenance projects at the District building and grounds? YESNO
Stone Bank	Common School District	STONE BANK SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Stone Bank School District, Waukesha County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$2,500,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of capital improvement projects at the District building and grounds, including safety and security upgrades, roof, window, flooring and HVAC replacement, lighting and parking lot improvements, library and classroom remodeling and repurposing, technology and STEM related upgrades, restroom and water system improvements, playground equipment and bleacher repairs; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO
Mondovi	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF MONDOVI REFERENDUM	RESOLUTION TO EXCEED REVENUE CAP COMMENCING WITH THE 2015-16 SCHOOL YEAR BY AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$300,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the School District of Mondovi, Buffalo, Dunn, Eau Claire and Pepin Counties, Wisconsin, that the final school district budget shall include an amount not to exceed \$300,000 in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes each year for a period of three years on a nonrecurring basis, beginning with the 2015-16 school year and ending with the 2017-18 school year, for the purpose of paying District operating costs to maintain instructional programs and technology. Shall the School Board of the School District of Mondovi be authorized to exceed the revenue limit under Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes beginning with the 2015-16 school year through the 2017-18 school year on a nonrecurring basis by an amount not to exceed \$300,000 each year for the purpose of paying District operating costs to maintain instructional programs and technology. NO

Oak Creek- Franklin Joint	Common School District	OAK CREEK- FRANKLIN JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the Oak Creek-Franklin Joint School District, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$59,095,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing, equipping and furnishing a new elementary school on land owned by the District and located at Drexel Avenue and 20th Street and a new secondary school on land owned by the District and located at Puetz Road and Knight's Place; and completing related site improvements? YES NO
Oak Creek- Franklin Joint	Common School District	OAK CREEK- FRANKLIN JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the Oak Creek-Franklin Joint School District, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$7,335,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing, equipping and furnishing a new auditorium on land owned by the District and located and Puetz Road and Knight's Place; and completing related site improvements? YES NO
Oregon	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF OREGON REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the Oregon School District, Dane, Rock and Green Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$54,600,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of a school building and improvement program consisting of the construction of additions to and renovation and improvement of Oregon High School, Oregon Middle School and Brooklyn Elementary School; renovation and improvement of Prairie View Elementary School and Netherwood Elementary School; acquisition and installation of technology improvements; roof replacement at District buildings; HVAC upgrades at the swimming pool; and construction of storm water improvements and other site improvements on the JC Park East property? YES NO
Oregon	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF OREGON REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the Oregon School District, Dane, Rock and Green Counties, Wisconsin for the 2015-2016 school year and thereafter be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$355,864 a year, for recurring purposes consisting of paying operation and maintenance expenses associated with new or upgraded District facilities? YES NO
Osseo-Fairchild	Common School District		INITIAL RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,500,000 BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Osseo-Fairchild School District, Trempealeau, Eau Claire, Jackson and Clark Counties, Wisconsin, that there shall be issued, pursuant to Chapter 67, Wisconsin Statutes, General Obligation Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$5,500,000 for the purpose of paying the costs of school building renovations including replacement of roofs, flooring and parking areas; general improvements to all school district buildings; district-wide technology upgrades; and equipment acquisition related to said projects. Shall the foregoing resolution of the School Board of the Osseo-Fairchild School District be approved? YES NO

Osseo-Fairchild	Common School District		RESOLUTION TO EXCEED REVENUE CAP COMMENCING WITH THE 2016-17 SCHOOL YEAR BE IT RESOLVED by the School Board of the Osseo-Fairchild School District, Trempealeau, Eau Claire, Jackson and Clark Counties, Wisconsin, that the final school district budget shall include amounts, set forth below, in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes each year for a period of four years on a non-recurring basis for the purpose of paying District operating costs as follows: \$330,000 for the 2016-17 school year, \$450,000 for the 2017-18 school year, \$425,000 for the 2018-19 school year and \$425,000 for the 2019-20 school year. Shall the School Board of the Osseo-Fairchild School District be authorized to exceed the revenue limit under Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes each year for a period of four years on a non-recurring basis for the purpose of paying District operating costs as follows: \$330,000 for the 2019-20 school year. Shall the School Board of the Osseo-Fairchild School District be authorized to exceed the revenue limit under Section 121.91 of the Wisconsin Statutes each year for a period of four years on a non-recurring basis for the purpose of paying District operating costs as follows: \$330,000 for the 2016-17 school year, \$450,000 for the 2017-18 school year, \$425,000 for the 2018-19 school year and \$425,000 for the 2019-20 school year as provided in the foregoing resolution? YES NO
Princeton	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PRINCETON REFERENDUM QUESTION #1	Shall the following resolution be approved? RESOLUTION TO INCREASE REVENUE LIMITS FOR OPERATIONAL NEEDS Be it resolved by the School Board of the School District of Princeton, Green Lake and Marquette Counties, Wisconsin, that, for the purpose of providing for the operational needs of the school district, the school district budget for each of the 2014-15 through 2016-17 school years shall include the following amounts in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91(2m), Wisconsin Statutes: for the 2014-15 school year an amount of \$300,000 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2015-16 school year an amount of \$300,000 on a nonrecurring basis. YESNO
Princeton	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PRINCETON REFERENDUM QUESTION #2	Shall the following resolution be approved? RESOLUTION TO INCREASE REVENUE LIMITS FOR PARKING LOT MAINTENANCE Be it resolved by the School Board of the School District of Princeton, Green Lake and Marquette Counties, Wisconsin, that, for the purpose of funding costs of parking lot maintenance, the school district budget for each of the 2014-15 through 2016-17 school years shall include the following amounts in excess of the revenue limits imposed by Section 121.91(2m), Wisconsin Statutes: for the 2014-15 school year an amount of \$50,000 on a nonrecurring basis; for the 2015-16 school year an amount of \$50,000 on a nonrecurring basis; and for the 2016-17 school year an amount of \$50,000 on a nonrecurring basis. YES NO
Random Lake	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF RANDOM LAKE REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of Random Lake, Sheboygan and Ozaukee Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$8,500,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of undertaking remodeling and improvement projects at District buildings and grounds, including: constructing secure main entrances; upgrading safety, security and technology infrastructure systems; addressing ADA compliance issues and removing hazardous materials; updating technical education classrooms and providing space for staff professional development; improving and replacing roofs, lighting, electrical systems, doors, flooring and heating equipment; upgrading boilers and heating controls; repairing and improvements to the athletic fields and the stage; constructing a maintenance shed; addressing site drainage and storm water management; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO

Raymond #14	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT NUMBER 14 (RAYMOND) REFERENDUM	Shall the School District Number 14, Town of Raymond, Racine County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$4,640,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of removing the existing portable trailers and remodeling and renovating the existing school including the library, cafeteria, band, music and science classroom areas; a new two-court gymnasium and related sitework; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures, and equipment? YES NO
Ripon Area	Common School District	RIPON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the Ripon Area School District, Fond du Lac, Winnebago and Green Lake Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$29,100,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing additions to, renovating and remodeling the high school to create a combined middle school and high school on one campus; demolishing the current middle school to convert the space into a parking lot and tennis courts; undertaking safety, security and other improvements at the elementary schools; and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO
Ripon Area	Common School District	RIPON AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the Ripon Area School District, Fond du Lac, Winnebago and Green Lake Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$500,000 a year for the 2015-2016 school year through the 2020-2021 school year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of updating curriculum, updating technology, performing maintenance and replacing vehicles? YES NO
River Ridge	Common School District	RIVER RIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the River Ridge School District, Grant County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$13,000,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of combining current District facilities to create one Pre K-12 campus at the Patch Grove site, including remodeling and renovation projects, modifications for ADA compliance, HVAC replacement, additions for a gymnasium, an entrance to enhance safety and security, and an agricultural learning center, site improvements for athletic fields and parking, and acquiring furnishings, fixtures and equipment? YES NO
Southern Door County	Common School District	SOUTHERN DOOR COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Southern Door County School District, Door County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, on a non-recurring basis by an amount of \$390,000 for the 2015-16 school year for the purpose of ongoing operational expenses necessary to maintain current educational programs? YES NO
Sparta Area	Common School District	SPARTA AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM	Shall the Sparta Area School District, Monroe County, Wisconsin for the 2015-2016 school year through the 2018-2019 school year be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$750,000 a year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of supporting community learning centers; supporting funding for safety; and security projects and supporting the purchase of technology and instruction materials? YES NO

Waunakee Community	Common School District	WAUNAKEE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #1	Shall the Waunakee Community School District, Dane County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$44,800,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of a school building and improvement program consisting of the construction, equipping and furnishing of a new Intermediate School for students in grades 5 and 6; the construction of an addition to and the renovation, furnishing and equipping of Prairie Elementary School; and the renovation, furnishing and equipping of the existing Intermediate and Heritage Elementary School complex which will then transition to and serve as Heritage Elementary School? YES NO
Waunakee Community	Common School District	WAUNAKEE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT REFERENDUM - QUESTION #2	Shall the Waunakee Community School District, Dane County, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$540,000 in the 2014-2015 school year and by an additional \$540,000 a year in each of the 2015-2016,2016-2017 and 2017-2018 school years for a total increase of \$2,160,000 for the 2017-2018 school year and thereafter, for recurring purposes consisting of paying operating expenses for the expanded school facilities, establishing a Districtwide capital maintenance fund and funding District-wide technology improvements? YES NO
White Lake	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WHITE LAKE REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of White Lake, Langlade County, Wisconsin for three years beginning with the 2014-2015 school year and through the 2016-2017 school year be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$250,000 a year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of ongoing operational and maintenance expenses? YES NO
Williams Bay	Common School District	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF WILLIAMS BAY REFERENDUM	Shall the School District of Williams Bay, Walworth County, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$19,900,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of constructing, equipping and furnishing a new elementary school addition onto the existing Junior/Senior High School site and the cost of demolition of the current Elementary School? YES NO