Dear Lincoln County Voter:

This Voters’ Pamphlet is provided to give you, the voter, additional information on candidates and measures. You will not vote on every office in this pamphlet. Your ballot will contain only those issues for which you are eligible to vote—based on where you live. Each candidate had the opportunity to submit a picture and statement regarding his/her candidacy.

This General Election will be held completely by mail. Your ballot will arrive in the mail on or near October 22nd. After voting your ballot, you may drop it in the mail or deposit it at one of our drop sites listed on page 21-2 in this pamphlet. And, for your convenience, Official Drive-up Ballot Drop Boxes are now available in Lincoln City, Newport, and Waldport. See page 21-3 for directions. If you have any questions please call our office at (541) 265-4131. Also visit our website: www.co.lincoln.or.us/clerk/

Dana W. Jenkins, Lincoln County Clerk

Attention
This is the beginning of your county voters’ pamphlet. The county portion of this joint voters’ pamphlet is inserted in the center of the state portion. Each page of the county voters’ pamphlet is clearly marked with a gray bar on the outside edge. All information contained in the county portion of this pamphlet has been assembled and printed by your Lincoln County Clerk.
Ballot Drop Site Locations

You may mail in your ballot or deposit it at any of the drop sites listed below:

- **LINCOLN COUNTY COURTHOUSE**
  225 W Olive, Room 201; Newport
  INSIDE BOX: 8:30am to 5pm M-F
  (September 20 through November 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 7am-8pm
  OUTSIDE BOX: Drive-up ballot box in
  rear parking lot—open 24 hours a day,
  7 days a week until 8pm on November 6th
  (beginning September 20)

- **LINCOLN CITY CITY HALL**
  801 SW Hwy 101; Lincoln City
  INSIDE BOX: 8am to 5pm M-F
  (September 20 through November 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm
  OUTSIDE BOX: Drive-up ballot box in
  lower parking lot—open 24 hours a day,
  7 days a week until 8pm on November 6th
  (beginning September 20)

- **DEPOE BAY CITY HALL**
  570 SE Shell; Depoe Bay
  8am to 5pm M-F (October 10 through Nov. 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm

- **NEWPORT CITY HALL**
  169 SW Coast Hwy; Newport
  8am to 5pm M-F (October 10 through Nov. 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm

- **TOLEDO CITY HALL**
  206 N Main; Toledo
  8am to 5pm M-Th
  (October 10 through November 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm

- **SILETZ CITY HALL**
  215 W Buford; Siletz
  9am to 5pm M-F
  (October 10 through November 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm

- **WALDPORT CITY HALL**
  125 Alsea Hwy; Waldport
  INSIDE BOX: 8am to 5pm M-F
  (September 20 through November 5)
  (closed 12:30pm to 1:30pm)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8am-8pm
  OUTSIDE BOX: Drive-up ballot box in
  City Hall parking lot—open 24 hours a day,
  7 days a week until 8pm on November 6th
  (beginning September 20)

- **YACHATS CITY HALL**
  441 Hwy 101 N; Yachats
  8:30am to 4:30pm M-F
  (October 10 through November 5)
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 8:30am-8pm

- **EDDYVILLE COMMUNITY CHURCH**
  20742 Hwy 20; Eddyville
  November 6th ONLY (Election Day) 10am-8pm

★ Privacy Booth Available

Your ballot must be received at a designated drop site (including the Courthouse) by 8:00pm on November 6th. You may mail it in or take it to a drop site any day before that also. (beginning on dates listed above.)

**POSTAGE IS NOT REQUIRED AT THESE DROP SITES!**

---

check your ballot!

Make sure you have completely filled in the oval next to your choices.

If you vote for more candidates than allowed, or if you vote both Yes and No on a measure, it is called an overvote.

Your vote will not count for that candidate or measure.

You do not have to vote on all contests. Those you do vote on will still be counted.

Contact Lincoln County Elections at 541-265-4131 to request a replacement ballot if:

- you make a mistake
- your ballot is damaged or spoiled
- your ballot is lost
or for any other reason.

1 866 ORE VOTES (se habla Español)
www.oregonvotes.org   TTY 1 866 350 0596

Be sure to sign your Return Envelope!
Drive-Up Ballot Drop Boxes Now Available in Newport, Lincoln City and Waldport

Drive-up ballot drop boxes are now available at the Lincoln County Courthouse in Newport, the Lincoln City City Hall in Lincoln City, and the Waldport City Hall in Waldport. These drive-up ballot boxes will be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week from September 20 through 8:00pm on November 6.

**Newport:**
Lincoln County Courthouse – located in rear parking lot at the east end of the second row of parking.
Go west on West Olive Street to 4-way stop by the jail.
Turn left onto SW Nye Street.
Turn left into second entrance to courthouse rear parking lot.
Go all the way to the end of the row and you will see the ballot box on the left side. (It is marked “Official Ballot Drop Site.”)
Put your ballot in the box without having to get out of your car!

**Lincoln City:**
Lincoln City City Hall – located in the lower parking lot under the City Hall.
Turn west at the stop light by the City Hall. (across from Burger King).
Enter the one-way entrance to the parking lot under the building.
The ballot box is near the elevators next to the water payment box and the book and video drops.
(It is marked “Official Ballot Drop Site.”)
Put your ballot in the box without having to get out of your car!

**Waldport:**
Waldport City Hall – located in the City Hall parking lot at the east exit.
Go to City Hall on Highway 34.
Turn south between the City Hall and the school.
Take an immediate right into the City Hall parking lot.
Continue around the island and you will see the ballot box on the left by the exit. (It is marked “Official Ballot Drop Site.”)
Put your ballot in the box without having to get out of your car!

“Customer Service is our #1 Priority!”

Dana W. Jenkins
Lincoln County Clerk

Visit Our Website For More Information
www.co.lincoln.or.us/clerk/
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Registration and Voting Information

Registering to Vote
To be eligible to vote in the November 6, 2012 General Election, a completed voter registration card must be postmarked by October 16, 2012.

To Register To Vote In Oregon, You Must Be:
1. A resident of Oregon.
2. A United States citizen.
3. At least 18 years old by election day.

You Must Update Your Registration If:
1. Your residence or mailing address changes.
2. Your name changes.
3. You wish to change your party affiliation.

Important Note: If you have moved or your name has changed and you have not yet updated your registration, you are still eligible to vote. Contact the Lincoln County Elections office, 541-265-4131 for further information.

Voters With Disabilities:
If you are unable to vote your ballot without assistance, contact the Lincoln County Elections office at 541-265-4131. We will provide two persons to assist you in voting. To assure your voted ballot is received by election day, contact the elections office early to arrange for assistance. You may also select someone else of your own choice to assist you.

On-line Registration:
On-line voter registration is now available. If you go to our website: www.co.lincoln.or.us/clerk/ and go to the bottom of the page, click on “Register to Vote”.

Voting Instructions
Check Your Ballot!
To vote you must fill in the oval ( ) completely with a pencil or pen.

To write-in a name — Fill in the oval ( ) to the left of the dotted line and write-in the name on the dotted line. If you vote for more candidates than allowed, or if you vote both Yes and No on a measure, it is called an overvote. Your vote will not count for that candidate or measure. You do not have to vote on all contests. Those you do vote on will still be counted.

Contact Lincoln County Elections office at 541-265-4131 to request a replacement ballot if:
→ you make a mistake
→ your ballot is damaged or spoiled
→ your ballot is lost
or for any other reason.

1-866-ORE-VOTES (se habla Español)
www.oregonvotes.org
TTY 1-800-735-2900

Remember, if stated on your ballot, vote both sides of your ballot.

After voting—SEAL the ballot in the ballot secrecy envelope.

SEAL your BALLOT (enclosed in the secrecy envelope), inside the return envelope, SIGN THE RETURN ENVELOPE in the space provided, and mail it in time to be received in the office of the County Clerk by Nov. 6, or drop it off at a designated drop site (see drop sites on page 21-2 of this county voters’ pamphlet).

Be sure to sign your Return Envelope!

REMEMBER!
All ballots must be voted and received at a drop site or the Lincoln County Clerk’s Office by no later than 8:00 p.m., November 6, 2012.

Postmarks Do Not Count!
**Measure 21-144**

**Lincoln County**

**Ballot Title**

21-144

Children’s Trust of Lincoln County: Investing in Our Children's Future

**QUESTION:** Shall County levy 35¢ per $1000 assessed value for five years beginning in 2013-2014 to support children’s programs and services?

This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

**SUMMARY:** Measure would finance County establishment of dedicated funds for a Children's Trust providing grants for children's programs and services. Approval of this measure would allow Lincoln County to provide funding for children’s programs and services for all areas of Lincoln County, including all cities.

Funds will be focused on but not limited to programs for:

- **Child Abuse Prevention and Intervention:** assistance to abused children and abuse prevention programs for parents and related programs and services.
- **Early Childhood Education and Childcare:** preparing children for success and providing affordable quality childcare and related programs and services.
- **Outside-of-School Child Development:** helping children achieve, stay safe, and develop positive behaviors for community membership. Includes tutoring, mentoring, the arts, athletics, nutrition, homeless youth services, and mental health and substance abuse services and related programs and services.

**Accountability:**

- Cost effective programs with proven records of success
- Citizen Allocation Committee representing all parts of the County
- Funds awarded through competitive process
- County fiscal agent for funds

A home valued at $200,000 pays $70 per year, or less.

It is estimated that this measure would raise approximately $2.40 million in tax revenues for 2013-2014, $2.44 million in tax revenues for 2014-2015, $2.50 million in tax revenues for 2015-2016, $2.54 million in tax revenues for 2016-2017, and $2.59 million in tax revenues for 2017-2018. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE only based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate.

**Explanatory Statement**

Measure would finance County establishment of dedicated funds for a Children’s Trust providing grants for children’s programs and services.

Kids who fail to thrive in their early years often grow up to be expensive burdens on society; suffer from learning disabilities; experience criminal behavior; hunger; abuse; or homelessness; and drop out of school.

The Children’s Trust will support programs for more than 8,000 children that might otherwise grow up without a healthy start and the positive influences that lead to success in school and beyond.

Funds will be focused on but not limited to programs for:

- **Child Abuse Prevention and Intervention:** assistance to abused children and abuse prevention programs for parents and related programs and services.
- **Early Childhood Education and Childcare:** preparing children for success and providing affordable quality childcare and related programs and services.
- **Outside-of-School Child Development:** helping children achieve, stay safe, and develop positive behaviors for community membership. Includes tutoring, mentoring, the arts, athletics, nutrition, homeless youth services, and mental health and substance abuse services and related programs and services.

These three areas have been chosen because they provide the greatest payoff in terms of improving children’s lives and providing long term savings to the community. Leading economic experts agree that early childhood education has the highest return of any public investment—as much as $16 saved for every dollar spent. With the average cost to jail a juvenile offender at $59,000 per year, the Levy’s focus on helping children succeed is designed to be a prudent investment in our future.

**Accountability:**

- Cost effective programs with proven records of success
- Citizen Allocation Committee representing all parts of the County
- Funds awarded through competitive process
- County fiscal agent for funds

Levy is $0.35 per $1,000 of assessed property value, and produces an estimated $2 million per year for 5 years. A home valued at $200,000 pays $70 per year, or less.

(This information submitted by Kristin Yuille, Assistant County Counsel, Lincoln County Board of Commissioners.)
**Argument in Favor**

**Measure 21-144 is a Smart Investment**

Lincoln County voters understand that if you are going to make an investment, you want to have a good return on that investment. You don’t want to gamble: you make investments with a proven track record. And you want to have confidence in how your money is being spent.

The Children’s Trust of Lincoln County scores on all these counts.

The Children’s Trust of Lincoln County is a common sense way of getting to the root causes that put our children and community at risk.

**Early Intervention gets the best results** – Measure 21-144 identifies the areas that will have the greatest long-term benefit for children and the community, and where Lincoln County also suffers a serious shortage.

**Only proven programs qualify** – After years of research on how to help kids grow into healthy, productive and successful adults, we know what works. Measure 21-144 will fund the kinds of programs that have a proven record of getting results.

**The money will be well-spent and carefully tracked** – Measure 21-144 uses audits and oversight to ensure that the money is spent effectively – and on what we voted for.

Finally, Measure 21-144 will make Lincoln County a better place to live and do business. It will help create a better educated workforce. It will make Lincoln County communities more attractive to families. Parents whose children are safe and who have access to the kind of opportunities Measure 21-144 will support make better employees. And all of these things make Lincoln County more attractive for companies thinking about investing or locating here.

**A great example of Lincoln County doing the right thing.**

**VOTE YES ON MEASURE 21-144**

*(This information furnished by Brenda L. Brown, Children’s Trust of Lincoln County.)*

---

**Argument in Favor**

**Fight Crime: Invest in Kids asks you to vote “YES” on Measure 21-144.** We know America’s anti-crime arsenal contains no weapons more powerful than programs that help kids get the right start in life. Measure 21-144 would provide The Children’s Trust of Lincoln County resources for many programs, such as early childhood education and care, child abuse prevention and interventions, and out-of-school activities, that are proven to work to get kids started on the right track in life and keep them from a life of crime.

**Benefits of Early Childhood Education:**

- Research shows high-quality early learning improves educational success, decreases dropout rates, increases graduation rates, increases employment and cuts crime.
- Early childhood education programs show they can save approximately $16 for every $1 invested with over $11 being realized in reduced criminal costs.

**Benefits of Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention:**

- Research has shown approximately half of youths arrested for delinquency had been abused or neglected earlier in their lives. Children abused or neglected are at greater risk of unemployment, divorce, suicide and a range of health problems from heart disease to depression.
- Home visiting can cut abuse and neglect in half. Nurse-Family Partnership, for example, not only reduces child abuse and neglect in half, it also increases prenatal health, maternal employment, and school readiness. Research also indicates that home visiting programs can reduce hospital admissions and deaths.

**Benefits of Out-of-school Programs:**

- Quality youth development programs cut crime immediately. Programs transform the prime time for juvenile crime (the hours between 3-6 pm and other out-of-school time while kids are not supervised) into hours of academic enrichment. They protect kids and adults from becoming victims of crime, and cut teen pregnancy, smoking, and drug use.

**Vote yes on Measure 21-144!**

Martha Brooks, State Director, Fight Crime: Invest in Kids Oregon

Fight Crime: Invest in Kids is a national anti-crime organization of over 5,000 law enforcement leaders. www.fightcrime.org

*(This information furnished by Brenda L. Brown, Children’s Trust of Lincoln County.)*
Measure 21-144
Arguments (continued)

Argument in Favor

A “YES” vote on Measure 21-144 is a vote for business as well as children and families.

Among the most effective ways to increase sales of local goods and services, while creating jobs and building a foundation for long-term economic security, are investments in quality early care and education. Measure 21-144 will help provide this economic security.

Short-Term Economic Benefits for Businesses:

- High-quality early learning programs strengthen the economy. Each new $1 invested generates nearly $2 in sales of goods and services for local businesses, resulting in new spending.
- The immediate economic boost to local businesses from early learning investments is as high or higher than investments in other major sectors, including construction, retail trade, manufacturing, transportation and utilities.
- Investments in the early learning sector generates jobs; including jobs outside the sector.
- Access to quality early care and education decreases worker absenteeism – which cost U.S. businesses $3 billion annually.
- Communities with these programs attract and retain better and more qualified employees and are more competitive in attracting new businesses.

Long-Term Economic Benefits for Businesses and Communities:

- Employers need to hire people who have reading, writing, math, communication, collaborative, and critical thinking skills. Research confirms the most important factor in developing these skills is quality early care and education programs.
- A world-class workforce will be created through quality programs because they will:
  - Increase pre-math skills by 21%;
  - Increase pre-reading skills by 52%-74% for low-income children;
  - Cut by more than 50% the number of children held back or in special education;
  - Increase graduation rates by 44%; and
  - Increase median earnings by more than 33%

These programs will strengthen the economy giving high returns on investment.

Vote yes on Measure 21-144!

Martha Brooks, State Director, America’s Edge

America’s Edge is a national non-profit organization of business leaders dedicated to ensuring a strong economy and productive workforce through smart investments in our children.

www.americasedge.org

(This information furnished by Brenda L. Brown, Children’s Trust of Lincoln County.)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the County of Lincoln, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.

Measure 21-145
City of Yachats

Ballot Title

21-145
Charter Amendment Referred to the Voters by the City Council

QUESTION: Shall the Yachats City Charter be amended to remove certain restrictions on the City’s purchase and sale of real property?

SUMMARY: The City Council of Yachats reviewed the current City Charter and voted to place this proposed Charter amendment on the November 6, 2012 ballot. If approved by the voters, the amended Charter would go into effect January 1, 2013. The proposed Charter amendment would eliminate the requirement for voter pre-approval of the City’s purchase or sale of real property. The proposed Charter amendment would allow the City Council to make decisions on the purchase or sale of real property without first presenting the issue to City voters. All other requirements for final City Council action would remain in effect, including public meeting requirements and voter approval of general obligation bonds that might be sought to finance the purchase of real property. The City Council determined that the City of Yachats is the only Oregon city with a charter requiring voter pre-approval of real property transactions.

Explanatory Statement

The City Council of Yachats proposed a revised Charter to the voters on the May 15, 2012 ballot. The revised Charter incorporated several changes that were largely based on the League of Oregon Cities Model Charter. The revised Charter proposal was defeated by a margin of ten votes. At the June 11, 2012 Council work session and the June 14, 2012 City Council meeting, the Council discussed the results of the May election and made the decision to propose another amendment to the Charter, this time making a single revision. The City Council voted to place this proposed amendment to the Charter on the November 6, 2012 ballot for voter consideration. If adopted by the voters, the amended Charter will go into effect on January 1, 2013. The proposed amendment to the Charter would eliminate the requirement for voter pre-approval of the City’s purchase or sale of real property. The proposed amended Charter would allow the City Council to make decisions on the purchase or sale of real property in a timely manner, by foregoing the need to first seek voter approval. All final decisions made by the City Council would still need to meet the requirements of the public meetings laws. In addition, to the extent a purchase of real property would be financed with general obligation bonds, the voters would still need to approve the issuance of such bonds. In reviewing the Charter’s requirement for voter pre-approval of real estate transactions, the City Council determined that no other city charter in Oregon contains a similar voter pre-approval requirement.

(This information submitted by Nancy Batchelder, City of Yachats.)

There were no arguments filed for or against this measure.
Measure 21-146 Lincoln County

**Ballot Title**

21-146
Advisory Vote/Amending Constitution Addressing Corporate/Union Political Speech

**QUESTION:** Should citizens urge Congress/Oregon Legislature to amend Constitution to clarify corporation/union political speech rights, allowing campaign finance regulation and limits?

**SUMMARY:** This is a non-binding advisory vote submitted by the Lincoln County Board of Commissioners to the citizens of Lincoln County. It asks voters if they desire to urge the Oregon State Legislature and United States Congress to overturn recent United States Supreme Court decisions in *Citizens United v. The Federal Elections Commission* and *American Tradition Partnership, Inc. v. Bullock and the State of Montana* (holding that under the United States Constitution’s First Amendment corporations and unions are “persons” who should be treated the same as individuals in political speech, thereby striking down campaign finance restrictions on corporate and union spending to influence elections, candidate selection and votes in both federal and state elections). Yes vote expresses the desire to amend the Federal Constitution to allow the regulation, limitation, or prohibition of contributions and expenditures of corporations and unions in political election campaigns. A no vote indicates the desire to maintain the current law as interpreted by the Supreme Court and to treat corporations and unions as persons with the same political speech rights as individuals.

**Explanatory Statement**

This is a non-binding advisory vote submitted by the Lincoln County Board of Commissioners to the citizens of Lincoln County.

The Commissioners were approached by a group of citizens asking that Lincoln County voters be allowed to weigh in on ramifications of recent United States Supreme Court decisions that struck down campaign finance restrictions on corporate and union spending to influence elections, candidate selection and votes in both federal and state elections. Those decisions (*Citizens United v. The Federal Elections Commission* and *American Tradition Partnership, Inc. v. Bullock and the State of Montana*) held for the first time that limits on the amount of money corporations and unions could spend to support or oppose political candidates in the closing days of a campaign unconstitutionally violated first amendment speech rights. The *Citizens United* decision struck down regulations under the McCain-Feingold Bipartisan Campaign Finance Act of 2002, adopted by congress and signed into law by President George W. Bush. In short, the Court held that corporations and labor unions had the same free speech rights as individuals and that those rights would not prohibit unlimited corporate or labor union spending on campaigns and votes. The *Montana* decision applied the same principles to strike down Montana state restrictions on campaign spending limits. The end result of both decisions is that many current campaign finance restrictions on corporations and labor unions in both federal and state elections laws are now a thing of the past.

The Board of Commissioners now asks voters in this non-binding advisory vote if they desire to urge the Oregon State Legislature and United States Congress to overturn these recent United States Supreme Court decisions. A yes vote expresses the desire to amend the Federal Constitution to allow the regulation, limitation, or prohibition of contributions and expenditures of corporations and unions in political election campaigns. A no vote indicates the desire to maintain the current law as interpreted by the Supreme Court and to treat corporations and unions as persons with the same political speech rights as individuals.

The results of this election will be provided by the Board to members of the Oregon Legislature representing our area and to members of the House of Representatives and United States Senate for our area and state.

(This information submitted by Wayne Belmont, County Counsel, Lincoln County Board of Commissioners.)
Argument in Favor

Corporations are not people.  
Money is not speech.

For over 100 years, corporations and unions have exercised far too much influence over our political system. With the Supreme Court decision of 2010, Citizens United Vs. FEC, the last impediment to complete domination of our elections by big money was removed. Corporate entities, even those from outside our borders, can now spend unlimited money to buy or influence our votes and they can do so anonymously. The court relied on the illegitimate doctrine of ‘Corporate Personhood’ to justify this profoundly undemocratic decision. The court declared corporations have all the same constitutional rights and privileges as real people.

That’s just absurd.

If you were already alarmed by the fact that over 5 billion dollars was spent on the 2008 election, watch out. The floodgates are now wide open.

Corporate Personhood is a significant legal technicality. Thousands of local, state and federal laws that attempt to protect our elections, safety, health and environment have already been overturned or are in danger of being so.

Since ‘Citizens United’ was a Supreme Court decision, the people of the United States have only one legal recourse. We must amend the Constitution to state clearly that legislatures have the authority to regulate campaign finance and corporate power.

Amending the Constitution is difficult. We are not approaching this casually.

With this advisory vote, we are sending an important message to the State of Oregon and the US Congress...

**Overrule the Court. Restore our democracy.**

These Lincoln County citizens endorse this message and urge you to please vote YES on ballot measure 21-146.

Sandra Roumagoux  
Mayor Mark McConnell  
Bill Hall  
Theresa Wisner  
Mayor Susan Woodruff  
Ron Spisso  
Mayor Ron Brean  
Mayor Ralph Grutzmacher  
David Ogden Stiers  
Alan Searle  
Chuck Hill  
Greg Scott  
Lon Brusselback  
Stephen Farish

(This information furnished by Stephen Farish.)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the County of Lincoln, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.

Argument in Favor

What happened to “Government by the People”?  

Have you noticed the increase in attack ads lately? Many of these ads are sponsored by unknown PACs that make unlimited, anonymous, and unaccountable campaign contributions. These are super-wealthy individuals and corporations, many of them with offshore assets and sources of income, that are able to take advantage of the 2010 Citizens United Supreme Court decision, and related earlier cases, giving corporations the same constitutional standing as people. Corporations are not people and are not entitled to the constitutional rights with which human beings are endowed.

These super-wealthy individuals and corporations are buying our elections and politicians, creating an unfair imbalance that not only affects the contests between the two major political parties, but also distorts the process within the parties between grassroots membership and the big-money interests.

Voting YES on this measure will send a message to the Oregon Governor and Legislature to support a federal action to reverse the Citizens United decision. This measure is a step we must take to reclaim our right to decide our elections at the ballot box rather than have them decided for us by big money.

Please join us in supporting Measure # 21-146.

Lincoln Citizens for Fair Elections  www.fairelectionsLC.org

**Endorsed by:**

**Organizations**  
Lincoln County Democrats  
Main Street Alliance of Oregon--(small business organization)

**Businesses & Individuals:**  
Judy Buchko of Nye Beach Market, Newport  
Greg and Lori Card of Cafe Mundo, Newport  
Rio Davidson, Cascade Coast Construction Inc, Newport  
Aymee and Robert Romines of ARR’ Place, Newport  
David Cramer, Attorney, Lincoln City  
Steve Myers, retired instructor, S. Beach  
Jorge Hernandez, Newport  
Jay Fineman, Local Veterinarian, Newport  
Charlie Plybon, Local Activist, S. Beach  
Luke and Chris of Bier One, Newport  
Jody George, Zachery Wahl, Nye Beach business owners  
Shannon Bishop, Anasazi Hair salon, Newport  
Charles Hurty, Newport

(This information furnished by Steve Myers, Lincoln Citizens for Fair Elections.)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the County of Lincoln, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.
Measure 21-147  Lincoln County

**Ballot Title**

21-147
Formation of Animal Services District/Establishment of Permanent Rate Levy

QUESTION: Shall County form Animal Services District and adopt permanent rate levy of $0.11 per $1,000 assessed value beginning FY 2013-14?

SUMMARY: Lincoln County initiated formation of a countywide Animal Services District and adoption of a permanent rate levy, both subject to voter approval. The intent of this measure is to replace the existing voter approved five year local option tax with a permanent rate levy to stabilize future funding for animal shelter and animal control services. The District formation was approved by all the cities in Lincoln County.

Approval of this measure authorizes formation of the Lincoln County Animal Services District and adopts a permanent rate levy of $0.11 (11 cents) per $1,000 of assessed value beginning Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14 as the annual maximum rate of operating taxes for the District to continue to provide stable funding for animal shelter and animal control services for all areas of Lincoln County, including all cities. The permanent rate levy will replace the existing voter approved $0.11 (11 cents) per $1,000 local option tax for animal services beginning Fiscal Year 2013-14. The County will cease collecting the local option tax if this measure is approved.

Explanatory Statement

The Lincoln County Animal Shelter provides food, care, and temporary shelter for homeless dogs and cats and animals impounded under law. The Animal Shelter also provides animal adoption services.

Lincoln County Animal Control investigates cases of animal abuse and neglect, and carries out and enforces state and local laws that regulate dogs running at large and dogs that are a public nuisance.

While dog license fees provided some limited funding for a portion of these services, they were inadequate. In the year 2009, in light of declining financial resources, the Shelter faced closure. Therefore, Lincoln County proposed and County voters approved dedicated funding for the Animal Shelter and Animal Control services for all areas of Lincoln County, including within all cities. This five-year local option tax of $0.11 (11 cents) per thousand dollars of assessed value has allowed the Shelter and Animal Control Services to continue for residents of the County at a level at or beyond the services previously provided.

The local option tax, however, is by law of limited duration. The County desires to stabilize funding for shelter and animal control services well into the future. To do so requires establishment of an Animal Services District and approval of a Permanent Rate Levy. The proposed permanent rate levy will be at the same rate as the local option tax, $0.11 (11 cents) per thousand dollars of assessed value. It will replace the local option tax beginning Fiscal Year 2013-14. The County will cease collecting the local option tax if the permanent rate is approved.

This measure asks voters to approve establishment of the Lincoln County Animal Services District and a permanent rate levy of $0.11 (11 cents) per thousand dollars of assessed value dedicated for Animal Shelter and Animal Control purposes.

(This information submitted by Wayne Belmont, County Counsel, Lincoln County Board of Commissioners.)

There were no arguments filed for or against this measure.
Measure 21-148  Yachats Rural Fire Protection District

Ballot Title

21-148
Renewal of Local Option Tax for the Yachats Fire District

QUESTION: Shall Yachats Fire District renew its tax for operations of 61¢ per $1000 assessed value for five years beginning 2014-2015?

This measure renews current local option taxes.

SUMMARY: Approval of this measure would renew the current five year local option tax set to expire in tax year 2013-2014, at the same rate now in effect, for an additional five years.

It is estimated that this measure would raise approximately $308,409.00 in tax revenues for 2014-2015, $317,661.00 in tax revenues for 2015-2016, $327,191.00 in tax revenues for 2016-2017, $337,007.00 in tax revenues for 2017-2018, and $347,117.00 in tax revenues for 2018-2019.

Revenues would be used by the Yachats Rural Fire Protection District to continue to staff Emergency Medical Services, pay the operating costs of the District, replace older vehicles, continue expanded training, and purchase protective equipment, hose and other small equipment.

Explanatory Statement

The Yachats Rural Fire Protection District (YRFPD) funds and oversees the Yachats Volunteer Fire Department in the provision of emergency services from Yachats to the southern Waldport boundary. Over 95% of YRFPD’s income is from property taxes. The District levies three taxes, a Base Rate of 28.96 cents/$1,000, and two Local Option Taxes (LOTs) of 61 cents and 59 cents respectively. Our Base Rate can never be changed. Each LOT must be renewed every five years.

The first LOT was approved by the voters in 1998 and renewed each five years since. The second LOT was initially approved in 2007, and renewed last year. The District has not asked that the amounts of the LOTs be increased since they were first approved. We now ask the voters to again renew the first LOT. The total of the three taxes is $1.49 per $1,000, below the average of all Rural Fire Districts in Oregon.

The revenue from these two LOTs has allowed the District to replace very old fire engines, to update and replace firefighter protective equipment, to procure improved rescue equipment, to expand and improve firefighter training, and, together with the Central Oregon Coast Fire and Rescue District, to provide 24/7 Paramedic-level medical response. The Department now has two paid full time Firefighter/Paramedics, one also serving as Training Officer and one as Assistant Training Officer. With the revenues that the District patrons have approved, firefighter capabilities are markedly improved since 1998.

There were no arguments filed for or against this measure.
**Measure 21-149**  
Seal Rock Rural Fire Protection District  

**Ballot Title**  
21-149  
Continuation of Local Option Tax for Seal Rock RFPD  

**QUESTION:** Shall the District levy a local option tax of $0.20 per $1,000 of assessed value for five years beginning 2014-2015?  

This measure renews current local option taxes.  

**SUMMARY:** Approval of this measure would allow the District to retain a full time fire chief and pay expenses related to that position.  

The District has only one full time employee, the chief. The chief is responsible for effectively managing the District and its resources, recruiting and training volunteer firefighters, complying with governmental regulations, adhering to industry standards, applying for grants and other responsibilities designated by the Board of Directors of the District.  

This levy is a continuation of the levy approved by the voters in 2008. The rate of the levy remains the same as previously approved at $0.20 per $1,000 of assessed valuation. The proposed rate will raise approximately $91,582 in 2014-2015; $94,330 in 2015-2016; $97,160 in 2016-2017; $100,075 in 2017-2018; and $103,077 in 2018-2019. The estimated cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the County Assessor at the time of the estimate.  

**Explanatory Statement**  
The District is seeking approval from the voters to continue the local option tax of $0.20 per $1,000 of assessed valuation that was previously approved by the voters in 2008. The continuation of the levy would take effect for the 2014-2015 fiscal year and would run for 5 years through the 2018-2019 fiscal year. Approval of the measure would allow the District to retain a full time fire chief and pay expenses related to that position.  

The chief is the District’s only full time employee. The chief is responsible for effectively managing the District and its resources, recruiting and training volunteer firefighters, complying with governmental regulations, adhering to industry standards and guidelines necessary to receive rankings that allow property owners in the District to receive reasonable fire insurance premiums, applying for grants, and other responsibilities designated by the Board of Directors of the District.  

This levy is a continuation of the levy that was previously approved by the voters in 2008. The rate of the levy has not changed. The proposed rate will raise approximately $91,582 in 2014-2015; $94,330 in 2015-2016; $97,160 in 2016-2017; $100,075 in 2017-2018; and $103,077 in 2018-2019. The estimated cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the County Assessor at the time of the estimate.  

**Argument in Favor**  

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR  

We VOLUNTEERS, who provide Emergency Services to all residents / voters residing in the Seal Rock Rural Fire Protection District (SRRFPD) which includes Bayshore, Makai, Sandpiper and Seal Rock, feel we need to CONTINUE to have a paid full time Fire Chief.  

NOTE: This request is NOT FOR A NEW TAX! It is a request for a RENEWAL of a local option levy of .20 per $1000 assessed value beginning 2014-2015.  

Continued support from Seal Rock RFPD voters with a “YES” vote for a paid Fire Chief position will insure through 2019 that there is:  

- 24/7 emergency services provided in the district  
- Professional administrative oversight on government compliance issues  
- Accountability for emergency response actions  
- Ability to reduce budget expenditures via grants  
- A higher ISO Fire District rating which keeps home insurance premiums lower  

Having a full time Fire Chief enables us (the volunteers) to serve YOU to the best of our ability. This paid position gives us the means to continue to meet the emergency requirements of a district that a TOTAL VOLUNTEER Fire District ADMINISTRATION CANNOT PROVIDE.  

KNOW THAT WE CARE! Please vote “YES” to RENEW the Seal Rock RFPD tax levy of .20 per $1000 of assessed property evaluation for a paid Fire Chief position and related expenses through 2019. Thank you for allowing us to serve you!  

(This information furnished by Edward (Ned) Brittain, M.D.,  
Seal Rock Volunteer Firefighters Association.)  

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by the County of Lincoln, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statement made in the argument.
Lincoln County Commissioner, Position 2

**Bill Hall**
Democrat

**Occupation:** Lincoln County Commissioner

**Occupational Background:** Radio news director; newspaper reporter

**Educational Background:** B.A., Pacific University; M.S., Northwestern University

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Cascades West COG board chair; Community Services Consortium Board; Governor’s Council on Alcohol and Drug Programs; Oregon Ending Homelessness Advisory Council; Association of Oregon Counties board of directors and Human Services Steering Committee; DHS/OHA Local Government Advisory Committee.

EXPERIENCE. INTEGRITY. RESULTS. Three good reasons to re-elect Bill Hall.

**Experience:** I have served you as commissioner since 2005. I’ve kept essential public services in place, while making necessary but difficult cuts so we could live within our means. Our board has provided funding and leadership that helped bring NOAA to Lincoln County. We’ve been called a national leader for our innovative approaches to meeting veteran’s health needs and building positive working relations with our local Native American Tribe. I’m providing a strong voice for local residents as state and national health reform moves forward.

**Integrity:** I haven’t been afraid to make tough choices. I’ve always kept the greatest good for the greatest number of people as my guiding principle, and have conducted the people’s business with honesty and openness. I’ve made greater public access to information about county business a priority, and have worked hard to bring people to the table to develop solutions to a variety of challenges.

**Results:** Even in challenging times, we’ve looked for ways to innovate and bring in outside resources to meet community needs. I’ve been a catalyst for projects that have brought more than $3.5 million into the county for services like our veteran’s health clinic and other expanded veterans services; Drug Court; and housing and services for middle class families and long-term homeless youth and families. More than 200 local seniors have trained volunteers to help them remain in their homes because of funding provided by the county.

Please visit www.billchall.com for more information about me.

(This information furnished by Bill Hall.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

---

Lincoln County Commissioner, Position 2

**Tom Runions**
Republican

**Occupation:** Tom Runions Construction

**Occupational Background:** US Navy; 28 Years Lincoln County Road Department; local business owner

**Educational Background:** Linn-Benton Community College

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Lincoln County School District Budget Committee; Lincoln County Road Department Supervisor for 18 years.

**TOM RUNIONS: A NEEDED CHANGE**

“I am running for commissioner to stop irresponsible spending and financial mismanagement. I worked for the county for over 28 years, 18 years as a supervisor, I know the county and its budget. I served as a Lincoln County School District Budget Committee member for 3 years. I know what it takes to live within a budget and will take the important job of managing taxpayers’ money seriously.”

**TOM RUNIONS IS FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE**

Tom will restore reasonable county pay scales. His opponent implemented exorbitant pay hikes for over 300 county employees, virtually the entire county work force. There were over 200 individual pay hikes of more than 10% each; Tom’s opponent still supports pay hikes of 21%, 25%, 36% and higher during our financial crisis. The county-implemented wage hikes were expected to cost us nearly $4 million. The result: 85 county employees were fired giving citizens fewer county services at higher cost.

**TOM RUNIONS WILL RESTORE ESSENTIAL SERVICES AS HIS FIRST PRIORITY**

Tom will restore cost-effective essential services including Senior Outreach Services, Teen Court and the Commission on Children and Families – programs Tom’s opponent eliminated.

**TOM RUNIONS CARES ABOUT LINCOLN COUNTY AND ITS CITIZENS**

Tom will put available tax dollars directly into essential services instead of funding excessive and expensive non-essential county positions. Tom will cut county waste while helping his fellow citizens. Tom will help seniors stay in their homes with minimal cost to taxpayers but maximum benefit to our citizens. Tom understands how to fix the current mismanagement and follow the county’s mission to provide essential services to its citizens.

VOTE FOR A NEEDED CHANGE: ELECT TOM RUNIONS LINCOLN COUNTY COMMISSIONER

www.electtomrunions.com

(This information furnished by Tom Runions.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
Lincoln County
Sheriff

**Dennis Dotson**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Lincoln County Sheriff

**Educational Background:** Washington H.S.; Portland Community College; Northwestern University Traffic Institute; FBI National Academy.

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Governor’s Alcohol & Drug Policy Advisory Council; Governor’s Juvenile Crime Prevention Task Force; Oregon Asset Forfeiture Oversight Committee; State Legislative Assistant; Oregon Senate Committee Administrator; Local Public Safety Coordinating Council.

**Earning Your Trust**
Dennis Dotson has worked to earn the trust of Lincoln County citizens for more than 21 years. 12 1/2 years as the Oregon State Police Commander for Lincoln County and the past nine years as our Sheriff. His reputation of ethical conduct, strong leadership, innovation, and high expectations is widely known.

**Producing Results**
During Sheriff Dotson’s tenure, several reorganizations of the jail operation were successfully implemented. The jail’s inmate capacity increased by 33 beds to 161. Far more offenders are completing their entire sentence imposed by the courts. Reorganizations saved more than $2 million in future jail operational costs. Our jail leads the state in technology – improving deputy safety and jail security.

A complete rebuild of the law enforcement communications system will provide better routine communications and more dependable communications in the event of a disaster. Emergency preparedness for the county has improved under Sheriff Dotson. Citizens are better informed of emergencies through their mobile communications devices.

**Keeping You Safe**
Since 2003 the weekly “Tip of the Week” continues to provide Crime Prevention information to our citizens. Our Sheriff’s weekly radio program has also provided valuable information about public safety and more since 2006. In the past six years, more than a dozen Community Meetings have been hosted by Sheriff Dotson. Citizens are able to hear about Sheriff’s Office services and offer their ideas and feedback directly to our Sheriff.

Well-trained and equipped deputies increases the safety of our citizens. Your deputies provide a higher level of customer service, which in turn improves safety within our communities.

---

Lincoln County
Sheriff

**A. Steven Frey**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Lincoln County Sheriff Corrections Deputy 2002-present

**Educational Background:** Oregon State Sheriff Association Command College; DPSST Police Academy; DPSST Corrections Academy; Oregon State Marine Board/ Marine Law Enforcement School; Possess Intermediate Certificate in Corrections; Leadership Lincoln; Military Training: Budgeting and Finance, Personnel Supervision and Management, Leadership and Administration.

**Prior Governmental Experience:** 10 years Lincoln County Sheriff Corrections Deputy (Awarded Victor G. Atiyeh Award at the Academy for Exceptional Professionalism, Exemplary Attitude, Outstanding Achievement in Leadership and Academics); Member Red Ribbon Committee; Member Depoe Bay Fleet of Flowers Committee; 20.5 years U.S. Coast Guard (Awarded U.S. Coast Guard Medal of Commendation for Meritorious Service)

**My commitment:** To be honest, forthright and responsible to the citizens of Lincoln County, in my actions and in the spending of your tax dollars.

The Sheriff needs to be in touch with the community and the citizens who elected him into Office. I will make every effort to make myself available. I will return phone calls, answer e-mails, take or make visits as necessary to insure my service to you as voters and taxpayers is of the highest quality.

Promote youth education, particularly about the dangers of alcohol/ drug abuse and emphasize the importance of continued education. Help develop decision-making skills and provide tools to make the right choices. Our young people need this now more than ever. Life is about choices; helping instill responsibility and a positive attitude are key functions of law enforcement.

Building cohesion within the community to combat crime and deter criminals; Develop Community Policing programs to reduce crime and substance abuse which often fuels criminal behavior.

Partnership and Networking; Developing relations throughout the County and State to evaluate different and new ways to promote increased community safety.

Work Release Programs; to deter recidivism and provide the tools to help people reintegrate/reestablish themselves back into our communities and become productive members of society.

---

*The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.*
Lincoln County
Treasurer

Linda Pilson
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Treasurer/Tax Collector

**Occupational Background:**
July 1986–February 2007 Lincoln County Tax/Treasurer; 2007–present Lincoln County Treasurer

**Educational Background:**
Graduate of Downers Grove High School, Illinois; Certified County Treasurer/Finance Officer by Mt. Hood Community College–Economic & Workforce Development Division; Currently enrolled in the Municipal Finance Officers Certification Program.

**Prior Governmental Experience:** 26+ years in the Lincoln County Tax/Treasurer Office

**Professional & Community Involvement:** Member of Oregon Association of County Treasurers and Finance Officers; member of Municipal Finance Officers Association.

**Community Involvement:** Friends of Yaquina Lighthouse; Grad Night; Children’s Advocacy Center, Samaritan House, Meals on Wheels, Senior Center, East County Quick Response Team; Salvation Army.

**Proven Professional Skills:** Cash Management and Investment of Public Funds; Financial Accounting and Money Management; Banking and County Audit Procedures, Administration and Personnel Management

**Commitment to Excellent Service:** Linda is committed to maintain professional excellence and to provide efficient, courteous public service.

(This information furnished by Linda Pilson.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
City of Depoe Bay Mayor

Carol Connors
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Owner, Wholesale Insurance Brokerage

Occupational Background: Wholesale Insurance Broker; Legislative Advocate, Los Gatos-Saratoga Board of Realtors; Administrative Assistant to Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (Santa Cruz County); California State Assemblyman Staff

Educational Background: Bachelor of Arts, Political Science, San Jose State University (1970)

Prior Governmental Experience: City of Depoe Bay Mayor; Depoe Bay City Councilor; Depoe Bay Budget Committee (past chairman); Depoe Bay Planning Commission (past president); Depoe Bay Urban Renewal Agency

The City of Depoe Bay has proud traditions that should be respected and sustained:

- Fiscal responsibility and high ethical standards must be maintained: our residents and businesses must be protected.
- Ensure the culture and traditions of Depoe Bay are preserved through responsible growth.
- Forward focus: avoid crisis driven management.
- Place emphasis on maintaining and improving our roads.
- I pledge to continue my support of the Depoe Bay harbor, which remains the economic engine of the city.

Campaign promises without a history of service to the community are empty.

Depoe Bay Mayoral First Term Accomplishments

- Established a dedicated sheriff’s patrol without a local levy.
- Negotiated the transfer of a surplus county patrol car to reduce speeding on Highway 101.
- Implemented a local reverse 911 system.
- Instrumental in developing a best value contract approach to procure a Warning Siren and Public Address System for the safety of tourists and residents.
- Negotiated with ODOT to fund the amendment of our Transportation System Plan.
- Consulted with FEMA and Oregon’s U.S. Senators to ensure replacement of our tsunami damaged dock.
- Settled a four year lawsuit with a favorable outcome. The city received restitution from an involved participant.

Serving the city in volunteer, elected and appointed positions prepared me to serve effectively as mayor on the first day of my first term.

It has been an honor to serve the residents of Depoe Bay as Mayor. I ask for your vote on November 6.

(This information furnished by Carol Connors.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

City of Depoe Bay Mayor

A.J. (Jim) Mattila
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Owner, F/V Moonlighter, commercial fisherman.

Occupational Background: Harbor management, construction, repair & maintenance; owner, marine construction firm; 33 years commercial fishing.

Educational Background: Delake Grade School; Taft H.S.; graduated Roosevelt H.S., Portland.

Prior Governmental Experience: National Guard; U.S. Air Force

As a longtime resident of the area, I will work to give the future of Depoe Bay back to its citizens. We have seen the costly results of narrow agendas, pet projects and unnecessary lawsuits. I want our citizens to know the city council pays attention to business, that water is clean, sewers are sound and motels – which pay for it all – are full.

The Depoe Bay economy requires a viable port to attract tourists, preserve Corps dredging, retain the USCG station and harbor our sportfishing fleet.

The current council has been distracted and failed to avert onerous regulations that threaten tourism, the primary source of taxes for Depoe Bay. Denied our rights to celebrate our nation’s independence (fireworks), fishing is now threatened.

As Mayor, I will work to protect Depoe Bay’s economy from unfair practices, big business ocean exploits, nonresident environmental factions and unwarranted marine reserves using Statewide Goal 19 (Ocean Resources) and other authority.

I will ask the council for its comment and advice before decisions are made. I will treat citizens with respect and dignity and will ask the same of city council. I will adhere to ethics laws instead of seeking exceptions. I will strive to run orderly meetings and achieve a transparent government where all citizens are heard and treated fairly.

(This information furnished by A.J. (Jim) Mattila.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
Robert Gambino
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Resort Maintenance Technician

Occupational Background: Mass transit dispatcher, Tri Met Portland (30 years); medical claims examiner BC/BS (8 years); structural systems tech, Lockheed Aircraft

Educational Background: F.A.A. licensed mechanics program, Glendale Comm. College, CA; police and fire science, meteorology, anthropology, Valley College, CA.

Prior Governmental Experience: Depoe Bay City and Urban Renewal budget committees; Greater Portland Disaster Recovery programs (multi agency).

Robert Gambino wants to RESCUE Depoe Bay, for himself and for YOU!

Are you hoping for better weather in Depoe Bay’s economic forecast? Do you think inviting business and tourism to this city is a good idea? Do you want your city to become more livable for you and your loved ones? Do you feel that this city is doing “okay” but could certainly do better?

Robert answered yes to ALL those questions. He wants to represent YOU in City Council. He wants to help us realize the benefits of proactive city planning, and business and tourism promotion. Robert is determined to save our city from inevitable decline that is the result of just maintaining the “status quo” in city government. One only needs to look at the news to realize the doom that faces our nation’s small towns and large cities. If we study cities that are prospering in these perilous times, we can realize what works and build on it. Prosperous cities are proactive! Robert realizes this idea and needs your help to see it to fruition.

Our present city government is, and has been doing a good job in keeping our city alive, but that isn’t good enough these days. We will always need well grounded government, but we now need to utilize “proactive, positive, and forward thinking” ideas. Let’s not just survive, let’s THRIVE. Because Depoe Bay isn’t just where we live……. We LIVE here!

Vote for YOUR city. Vote for DEPOE BAY’S FUTURE. Vote for ROBERT GAMBINO for Depoe Bay City Council, position number one.

Zeke Olsen
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Restaurant Owner, Bonepile BBQ – business has grown by 125% during an economic recession in 5 years; Active U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary

Educational Background: Magruder HS, Rockville MD; University of Maryland; Oregon Coast Community College

Prior Governmental Experience: None

Operating my business, I interact with all walks of life. Everyday I listen to their views about our community, and concerns for what affects them. This provides me an all encompassing understanding of Depoe Bay and its citizens.

To thrive, in my opinion Depoe Bay must rise-up and meet the challenges of the 21st century and embrace three sets of goals.

IMMEDIATE:
#1 Most Important: “PUT HEADS IN BEDS” by effectively marketing Depoe Bay, as nearly 40% of the City’s income is derived from the Transient Room Tax.
#2 Simultaneously strengthen its relationship with the TRT tax collectors (hotel/motel owners).
#3 Depoe Bay must enhance and improve the City’s marketing through strengthening its relationship with the Chamber of Commerce.

NEAR FUTURE:
Put an end to “PET PROJECTS” and stop RECKLESS spending by:
(a) placing focus on resources and their allocations;
(b) create efficiencies through innovation;
(c) modernizing all aspects of City operations, including billing, public interface and record-keeping.

LONG TERM:
The center of Depoe Bay IS the harbor. Its presence and easy access to the Pacific ARE the main draw for the City. To preserve this precious resource, we MUST complete the necessary research and documentation set forth in Goal 19 of the Oregon Ocean Resource Management Plan of 1987.

Documenting the past and modernizing the City operations ensures Depoe Bay’s future. To accomplish these goals, the City government MUST learn to embrace new ideas and forward thinking. The past is just that, to be respected for sure. However Depoe Bay need explore ALL options for branding itself a thriving community able to flourish in the 21st century.

(This information furnished by Robert Gambino.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

(This information furnished by Zeke Olsen.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
City of Depoe Bay
Council Member, Position 3

Skip
Hoitink
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Retired

Occupational Background: U.S. Navy Reserve; Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad

Educational Background: Stevens Grade School–Eighth; North Central High School–Twelfth

Prior Governmental Experience: City Councilman

I’ve been a resident of Depoe Bay for five years and served on the Parks Commission before being appointed to the City Councilman position two years ago. I would like to continue on the council and do what I can for Depoe Bay.

I'm a volunteer and have volunteered for the “Whale Watching Spoken Here” program; the Chamber of Commerce; Crab Feed-Wooden Boat Show; Fleet of Flowers and the Salmon Bake. I've become somewhat knowledgeable about the wave energy and marine reserves programs. I'm also familiar with the infrastructure of the city and how our city government operates.
City of Depoe Bay
Council Member, Position 6

Brent Berry
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Part Time Contract Mail Carrier for the U.S. Postal Service Depoe Bay; Professional Musician; Anything to pay the bills

Occupational Background: General Labor by Day; Entertainer by night; Served in the United States Air Force 1986 to 1990

Educational Background: Fred C. Beyer H.S.; Attended Mt. Hood Community College

Prior Governmental Experience: None

As a frequent patron of the Wing Wa you always hear people complaining about the government. I have a little secret. We are the government. Last 2010 election all the members of the council ran unopposed, in fact nobody was on the ballot for position 6. I thought how can I complain if I don’t get involved. In 2008 when Monte Demaris ran against Jim White for mayor 706 people voted in Depoe Bay, only 451 voted in the unopposed 2010 race. When a candidate runs opposed more people get involved in the discussion what’s best for Depoe Bay and I believe that’s a good thing. I know I don’t have any “governmental experience” but I know Depoe Bay collects most of its revenue by the Water District so our first priority should be clean affordable water and no poop but fish poop in our bay. I believe we should be more open and have less Executive meetings. It seems that secrets only get us in trouble. Maybe Depoe Bay needs less experience and more common sense. Maybe Depoe Bay should get back to the basics of simple solutions instead of grand ideas. Maybe everybody in Depoe Bay should all get involved in protecting the ocean and our way of life. I’m just asking everybody in Depoe Bay to just vote, because we all make the difference.

(Ted G. Lewis.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

City of Depoe Bay
Council Member, Position 6

Ted G. Lewis
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Retired

Occupational Background: Small Business Owner

Educational Background: Shadle Park High School; Wenatchee Junior College; Eastern Washington University

Prior Governmental Experience: City Council Depoe Bay Jan. 2011 - Present

I retired to Depoe Bay in 2007 because I wanted to live near the ocean and because I have family in Portland. Serving on the City Council has helped me to learn how much our town has to offer. I would like to keep on serving and focus on supporting local business and continuing the improvements to our local park system. Also important to me is expanding the role of our citizens in local government and overseeing planned development to assure that our town maintains its unique personality.

(Ted G. Lewis.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
City of Lincoln City
Council Member, Ward III

Roger Sprague
Nonpartisan

Occupation: RETIRED

Occupational Background:
Owner/partner – Isham & Sprague Insurance, Inc – 25 years; Insurance Adjuster – Three Companies – 16 years; District Scout Executive – Boy Scouts of America – 1 year; Marketing Liaison for Boeing Co. Wind Tunnel Operations – 3 years

Educational Background: College of Puget Sound – 3 years; University of Washington – 1 year; Insurance Institute of America – 3 years – Insurance Degree

Prior Governmental Experience: Current Lincoln City Councilor plus 3 previous terms; Lincoln City Budget Committee – 16 years; Chair – Goal 5/17 Committee – 1 year; North Lincoln School Committee and Split/No Split Committee – 5 years; Everett, Washington Fire Commissioner – 2 years

The Lincoln City Council is currently in the midst of some very important matters and it is critical that councilors are well informed and up to date on those issues. Having had four previous terms, including the current abbreviated term, Roger Sprague has the experience and up to date knowledge to help guide those issues to a successful conclusion. Re-elect Roger Sprague to the Lincoln City Council – Ward 3.

(This information furnished by Roger Sprague.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
City of Newport Mayor

Jeff Bertuleit
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Small Business Owner

**Occupational Background:** Financial Planner and Insurance; Real Estate Broker

**Educational Background:** Newport Harbor High; Orange Coast College, AA Degree; California Polytechnic University, BS Urban Planning; College of Certified Financial Planning, CFP Degree

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Newport City Council, 8 years; Past Council President; Committees and Commissions: Bayfront, Nye Beach Steering and Design, South Beach, Highway 101

I am running for Mayor to contribute moving Newport in a positive direction. I have served 8 years on the Council. During that time, I have established valuable contacts in both the private and governmental sectors. In my committee assignments, I have always advocated for local, grass-roots solutions that enhance our community.

Currently, the country faces rebuilding the economy and its infrastructure. Newport is no exception. However, Newport does have exceptional folks that have the spirit, knowledge, and drive to accomplish goals that other cities dream of.

During my Council tenure, I have:

- worked toward eliminating beach closures;
- worked to build a new water plant;
- advocated for a new terminal and NOAA;
- worked to develop the airport and air service;

As Mayor, I will encourage the Council:

- to execute plans to pave streets and fix water and sewer lines with a budgetary plan to increase reserve funds;
- improve city communication with employees and the public;
- look for ways to improve business opportunities and job creation.

- There are more projects and potential opportunities that need the background and experience I can offer to accomplish these tasks.

The City has recently completed a new economic study, a transportation plan, and a South Beach plan. As Mayor, I will advocate for a “get it done” approach for all past and current projects to enhance the economic and quality of life for current and future citizens.

As Mayor, I will collaborate with Council, City Staff, the business community and citizens of Newport to define and accomplish future goals and create opportunities.

(This information furnished by Jeff Bertuleit.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

City of Newport Mayor

Sandra Roumagoux
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Artist; art instructor


**Educational Background:** Corvallis High School, Corvallis, OR 1958; Bachelor of Arts, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, 1977; Master of Fine Arts, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, 1981

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Elected: 2003-2012, Board of Education, Oregon Coast Community College, Chairman, 2004-2006; Elected: 2010 Newport City Councilor; Appointed: 1990-present, Ambulance Service Review Committee, Lincoln County

I will work hard to support economic diversification and partnerships that will benefit not only the City, but also the Port, the Hatfield Marine Science Center, the Oregon Coast Community College, the fishing industry as well as the many small businesses.

I will encourage responsible job growth and preserve the quality of life in the local area.

I will work cooperatively with others to make sure that important tasks are realized.

During my past two years on the Council, the City has become financially solvent with a contingency fund established. In addition, the infrastructure for water and sewer is being addressed and financial accountability is in place.

Newport is growing and on the move.

(This information furnished by Sandra Roumagoux.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
City of Newport
Council Members

Bill Bain
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Real estate appraiser, Real estate broker

Occupational Background:
Real estate brokerage, appraisal, consulting; Retail travel agency, agent and owner; Director, Department of Assessment and Taxation, Lane County 1979-1987; Manager, Mortgage Department, Citizens Bank of Oregon 1973-1976; Branch Manager State Savings and Loan 1971-1973; Branch Manager First Federal Savings and Loan 1965-1971; US Navy - active duty 1960-1965, ready reserve 1965-1990


Prior Governmental Experience: Director, Department of Assessment and Taxation, Lane County 1979-1987, elected; Newport Planning Commission 1996-2002, appointed; Newport City Council 2003-Dec 2005, elected; Mayor, City of Newport Dec 2005-2010, elected

I would like to return to the service of the community on the city council because:
- The future of our community depends on a stable, functioning city government, which we have had the good fortune to enjoy over the past 10 years.
- I have the interest only of the city and its citizens at stake, there are no other agendas.
- My experience and success in both private and public sector occupations, both paid and volunteer, will bring a substantial dividend to the community.
- I have served as president of a prominent service club, a local historical society, a fraternal order, and continue to serve those organizations and others as a board member and active committee member.
- I have represented the city on the Cascades-West Area Commission on Transportation, and I continue to represent this area as a “private sector member”.
- I will work diligently to be a positive part of the elected city team of council and mayor to ensure that the City of Newport continues to move forward with attention to the financial and operational stability that is required.

City of Newport
Council Members

Ralph Busby
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Retired

Occupational Background:

Educational Background: University of Oregon, BBA

Prior Governmental Experience: Council, Ocean City; Airport boards (4)

I offer Newport a wealth of management experience gained through a forty year career that encompassed a variety of leadership roles. My responsibilities have included numerous multi-million dollar projects and the direction of hundreds of personnel.

Sharon and I were fortunate to be able to choose Newport as our retirement home. I am originally from Grays Harbor County, WA and Sharon from Redmond, OR. We have lived in Eugene, Portland, Salem, and the Seattle area. Prior to moving to Newport we resided in Ocean City, NJ, a city with an ocean front location, a bay, and an economy based on tourism. Raising a family there, and participating in its activities, including a short time on the city council, allows me to bring unique experience to the Newport Council.

Newport is an exceptional place, with its mixture of people, industries, arts, and unmatchable scenery, it is critical that its character be maintained, while encouraging changes that will enhance the city and its economy. I believe it is the council’s task to keep the city focused on necessary governmental functions, and prevent overreaching into areas that should be the purview of private business and the individual. I believe the council has an obligation to proactively search for ways to increase efficiency, save money, and ensure the city is properly managed.

I will bring an open mind, and a listening ear. I approach problems by gathering the facts from all sides, analyzing the issues, and only then offering a solution. I’m willing to compromise and work with the other council members. I’m not afraid to make decisions and explain why, and will do this in a calm reasoned manner with, perhaps, a touch of humor.

Most importantly, I recognize that I will represent the people of Newport, and their money.

(This information furnished by Bill Bain.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

(This information furnished by Ralph Busby.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
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City of Newport Council Members

**Randy Holman**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Retired

**Occupational Background:**
City of Newport; Fluor Federal Services D.O.D. (Hanford Nuclear Reservation); Washington Demilitarization D.O.E. (Umatilla Chemical Weapons); SCM Engineering; SCITEC Inc; WA. State Department of Ecology; Petco Hazardous Waste Testing; Brown & Root Comanche Peak Nuclear Plant

**Educational Background:** Spaulding; Chief Jo; Columbia; Valley Medical - EE. ES.

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Committee to WA. State D.O.E. for Environmental Regulations

Newport needs to change from politics as usual. In 2012 voters choose between out of control city government representation or a more responsible, and accountable to the people city council. Councils infighting is out of control. I will help build a strong infrastructure, community involvement, and a responsible city government for the people and businesses. My message will be “refreshing, renewing, and revitalizing our coastal gem of a community through transparency, fiscal responsibility and integrity”.

I worked as an electrical engineer for nuclear plants, a nuclear clean up site, chemical weapons, fiber optics, systemization, start-up, and cold commissioning. Worked as an environmental scientist for WA. State Department of Ecology. Working with hazardous and toxic waste in the underground storage tank department, contaminated sites, investigating and following their clean-up process. I wrote and had published the state training manuals for contractors, including, installation and retrofitting, cathodic protection and tank tightness testing. Sat on committee at the state A.G. office interpreting state environmental regulations for the regulated community. Also instructed OSHA/WISHA Haz. Mat classes. I was a radioactive materials program manager for an x-ray fluorescent, spectrum analyzer company.

Newport is at a crossroads in its move into the 21st century. I represent a change, to bring open and fair politics back to the people and to give the people a voice on the council. I want to bring an open dialogue and will encourage the people to bring their ideas to the council. The city council is not above, it is for the people.

Randy Holman.

---

City of Newport Council Members

**Dean Sawyer**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Emergency Management Planner, Siletz Tribe

**Occupational Background:**
City of Newport, retired Police Sergeant; Los Angeles County, Deputy Sheriff.

**Educational Background:** Linn-Benton Community College, Administration of Justice; Department of Public Safety Standards and Training, Middle Management Certificate

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Newport City Council; Newport Police for over 29 years, Officer, Sergeant, Interim Chief of Police, Lincoln County Local Emergency Planning Committee, Lincoln County Public Safety Coordinating Council

It has been my honor and privilege to serve the citizens on the Newport City Council the last two years. I believe this is the best city in Oregon and I would like to continue to work hard to keep it that way.

One of the things that I am particularly proud of is establishing the City Council Town Halls. To date we have had five meetings around town where the council meets informally with area residents. I have met with small neighbor groups to discuss a particular problem in their part of town by bringing city staff and citizens together and we were able to get specific needs solved.

The city over the past few years has been faced with difficult and complex financial issues and I believe that the council has been successful in turning them around and pointing them in the right direction. The city’s reserve fund was dangerously low and we were able to develop a policy that will incrementally increase the reserves to an acceptable level. The elimination of the negative budget funding methods that were not proper is another major accomplishment we have addressed in the last two years.

Looking forward we still have financial issues that means we need to continue fiscal responsibility to make sure our limited resources go further. We need to continue the collaboration between city staff and citizens in directly approaching complex issues and finding workable solutions that satisfies all involved.

You can contact me at retcop@charter.net.

(This information furnished by Randy Holman.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

(This information furnished by Dean Sawyer.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
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City of Newport
Council Members

Laura Swanson
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Volunteer: Oregon Living Well Network Steering Committee Co-Chair, member of Oregon Living Well Financial Sustainability Workgroup, Marketing and Recruitment Workgroup, Quality and Fidelity Workgroup. Lincoln County Living Well: Workshop Facilitator and Leader, Marketing Committee.

Occupational Background: Educator – Lincoln County School District; Hitotsubashi School of Business, Tokyo, Japan.

Educational Background: Southern Oregon State College, MA - Education; Southern Oregon College, BA - Education; Central Oregon Community College, AA - General Studies.

Prior Governmental Experience: None

Politics do not interest me, but public service does. The only way I can “volunteer” for Newport City Council is to ask for your vote. I believe in public service and I believe that the democratic process will continue only if individuals become directly involved. I would like to help create Newport’s present, as well as her future.

I have experience in budgeting, written and oral presentations, projects and project deadlines, and I have excellent people skills. I am a team player, but I am not afraid to stand alone. I am objective when making decisions. My greatest asset: An abundance of common sense.

A few of the items regarding the City of Newport that are important to me include selection of the appropriate access road to the Port of Newport International Terminal and the continued development of the downtown Art Deco District, from traffic flow to supporting a unified Art Deco architectural theme. I want to promote Newport’s livability, including neighborhood sidewalk development, safer street crossings and correcting the patchwork of gravel and pavement in a number of streets in Newport.

If you want a City Councilor that puts public service over politics, vote for me. If you want someone who has career experience that will be valuable for City Council, vote for me. If you have a sense of humor and can see the oxymoron of common sense in government, vote for me.

(This information furnished by Laura Swanson.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
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City of Toledo

**Mayor**

**Ralph E. Grutzmacher**

*Nonpartisan*

**Occupation:** Mayor, City of Toledo; Manager, Olalla Center for Children and Families, Flowerree Community Center

**Occupational Background:** Toledo City Councilor; Legislative Officer, Prince George’s (Maryland) County Government; Director, President, Treasurer, Attorney, Prince George’s Community FCU

**Educational Background:** US Naval Academy, BS, 1972; George Washington University, Juris Doctor, 1979

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Toledo City Councilor; Trustee Supplemental Pension Plan; Associate County Attorney; Case Examiner, BCNR, SECNAV; Naval Officer

In 2012, while serving as Council President, I was appointed Mayor to replace Mayor Monica Lyons who resigned for health reasons. I seek election as Mayor to continue serving the citizens of Toledo.

My professional experience includes over 20 years as senior legal advisor to a suburban local government legislative body and 9 years as staff attorney. I worked on a variety of issues concerning local governments and crafted realistic solutions to complex problems. For over 20 years I was an elected director of a small ($100 Million assets) credit union with over 10,000 members. I was elected to three terms as trustee for employees pension plan. Each of these experiences were in the service to others and each required the ability to balance needs, desires and resources.

As City Councillor and Mayor I have worked to evaluate and promote city policies and decision in a fiscally responsible manner that represent all residents and reflects their needs. I have made it my responsibility to take the “Toledo Story” to a variety of private and governmental agencies and activities to improve economic development and job opportunities for Toledo residents.

Toledo offers a wonderful place to live, raise families, and recreate. The City of Toledo provides high quality services, such as public safety, a library, a swimming pool, pure water and good streets and street lighting for the benefit of residents and visitors. I take pride in being a part of this success.

*(This information furnished by Ralph E. Grutzmacher.)*

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

---

City of Toledo

**Council Member**

**Jill M. Lyon**

*Nonpartisan*

**Occupation:** Toledo City Councilor; Chair, Yaquina River Museum of Art Board of Directors; Board Member, Lincoln County Economic Development Alliance

**Occupational Background:** Vice President and General Counsel, Utilities Telecom Council, Washington, DC (non-profit industry association executive, telecommunications lobbyist) – 2000-2010; Senior Vice President & Deputy General Counsel, AMTA, WDC — 1994-2000 (similar); various legal positions, Washington, DC 1989-1994; broadcast news and sales career, Tulsa, OK 1975-1988

**Educational Background:** Georgetown University Law Center – Juris Doctor, 1991; University of Tulsa – B.A., 1978

**Prior Governmental Experience:** Toledo City Councilor January 2011-present

Toledo offers excellent city services for its size and a pleasant living environment overall. We have good energy and many people who care deeply about our success. Thanks to careful management, we are in better shape than many. However, we are at a crossroads, like other Oregon cities: statewide, we must find a solution to stagnant revenue sources, as our communities are looking at crisis down the road. Locally, we must continue to seek economic development opportunities – businesses and small industry – that will bring jobs and families here to maintain our quality of life and keep our young people here. We have residents who need help from government, but we need the economic foundation to provide services.

My background is in communications and working with government; my strengths include seeing the big picture, then working to find winning local solutions. I believe in partnerships, often among parties who normally don’t work together. I have a history of taking on big issues, and I don’t believe that a bad status quo can’t be changed. I have enjoyed talking up Toledo in the region and across the state since becoming a Councillor. I look forward to talking with more Toledo residents about their visions for our city, and to approaching people at all levels of government to work toward what we need for a great future.

*(This information furnished by Jill M. Lyon.)*

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

---
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City of Waldport
Mayor

**Susan Woodruff**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Retired

**Occupational Background:**
Treasurer, Assistant Comptroller, President and Owner of several transportation-related businesses; staff to corporate turn-around consultant for projects in San Francisco, Syracuse, NY, and Japan; Executive Secretary.

**Educational Background:**
Santa Rosa Jr. College, Santa Rosa, CA, 14, AA; Parks School of Business, Portland, OR, diploma; Portland Union Academy (Portland Adventist Academy), Portland, OR, 12, diploma

**Prior Governmental Experience:**

Waldport's friendliness and beautiful setting brought us here nearly 20 years ago. I feel very honored to be serving as mayor and on other boards and projects for the betterment of our community. I ask for your vote once again, and with our strong council and excellent staff, your city government will continue to:

- **Remain financially sound by planning wisely and spending carefully to provide quality city services now but also preparing for future needs.**
- **Meet community safety concerns by funding enhanced police services from Lincoln County Sheriff's Office, supporting the dedicated volunteer work of our Waldport Citizen Patrol, and increasing emergency preparedness and education.**
- **Seek grant funding and public/private partnerships whenever possible to maximize opportunities yet minimize costs.** Most recent efforts helped fund our new Woodland Trail, a much-needed public restroom, a required transportation corridor plan, and collaborative south-county communities training to enhance tourism revenues.
- **Enhance our special quality of life by keeping our community clean and attractive, supporting our schools and community services, and planning for and guiding new sustainable growth.**
- **Listen carefully and respectfully, work constructively for responsible solutions, and encourage broader citizen participation in all aspects of city government.**

Thanks for allowing me to serve this great community; if reelected, I will continue working with you diligently and cooperatively!

(This information furnished by Susan Woodruff.)
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City of Waldport
Council Member

**Peter J. Kelly**
Nonpartisan

**Occupation:** Retired; Volunteer at Oregon Coast Aquarium, Crestview Heights Elementary School, Waldport Citizen's Patrol, Oregon State Police Parks Patrol, and Meals on Wheels driver

**Occupational Background:**
City of Portland OR, Senior Human Resources Analyst; Texian Inns, San Antonio TX, Hotel General Manager; Alcor, Inc., San Antonio TX, Vice President – Manufacturing; Scott Fetzer Corp., Watertown NY, Manager – Human Relations; Tiffany's Bakery, Utica NY – Owner

**Educational Background:**
Utica College of Syracuse University, NY, Grade 16, B.S. Industrial Management

**Prior Governmental Experience:**
City Councilor, City of Waldport – 2005 - present; Board of Education, Oregon Coast Community College – 2006 - present; Advisory Board member, Lincoln County Transit District – 2007 - present

As a candidate for the Waldport City Council, my qualifications include:

- Current member, Waldport City Council
- Current member, OCCC Board of Education
- Small business owner, hotel general manager
- 12+ years at City of Portland, human resources professional
- Many years in manufacturing management: human resources management, labor relations

Thanks for allowing me to serve this great community; if reelected, I will continue working with you diligently and cooperatively!

(This information furnished by Peter J. Kelly.)
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City of Yachats

Ron Brean
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Mayor, City of Yachats

Occupational Background: Currently serving as Mayor of Yachats. Previously completed 35 year career in California State Parks including service as State Park Ranger, Supervising Ranger, Administrative Officer, Chief Ranger, Superintendent, Field Division Chief, Deputy Director for Administration, and Deputy Director for Operations. Delta Protection Commission.

Educational Background: Bachelor of Science Degree, Zoology, San Diego State University


I spent most of my adult life in public administration. As a Deputy Director for California State Parks I had responsibility for public safety, water systems, sewer systems, facilities maintenance, and for the care of precious natural and cultural resources. I have found this vocational background to be perfect training for providing services to the city I love.

During the four years I have been mayor the City of Yachats has effectively dealt with the affects of a lagging economy, stimulated fiscal health, begun to address lagging infrastructure needs, improved the efficiency of service, and managed ongoing city business arguably in a more effective manner than at any time in the city's history. Although I clearly did not accomplish this alone, I believe my leadership towards a shared vision has enabled our successes and positioned the City to meet future demands.

There are, however, still challenges ahead. The job is not finished. Thank you for the opportunity to use my public administration background to serve my community. I ask for your vote in support of keeping Yachats strong.

Larry L. Nixon
Nonpartisan

Occupation: Telephone Cable Splicer, small business owner

Occupational Background: Contract Telephone Cable Splicer – 35 years

Educational Background: Iowa State University - Ames, Iowa - Bachelor of Science degree in Agricultural Education


I will continue to listen to the people of Yachats on the important issues facing the City. I believe Yachats should shift towards the middle and that may require evening City Council meetings so the 8 to 5 working people can attend and express their views. I also would work to keep Yachats - “The Gem of the Oregon Coast”.

(This information furnished by Ron Brean.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.

(This information furnished by Larry L. Nixon.)

The above information has not been verified for accuracy by the county.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Nonpartisan State</th>
<th>Nonpartisan State Judiciary</th>
<th>Nonpartisan County</th>
<th>Lincoln County Soil and Water Conservation District</th>
<th>City of Depoe Bay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States President and Vice President</td>
<td>State Treasurer</td>
<td>Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor and Industries</td>
<td>Judge of the Supreme Court, Position 2</td>
<td>Judge of the Court of Appeals, Position 5</td>
<td>Director, Zone 2</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Brad Avakian</td>
<td>Richard C Baden</td>
<td>James C Egan</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Two Year Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>Ted Wheeler</td>
<td>Cameron Whitten</td>
<td>Allen Rosenblum</td>
<td>Scott Roberts</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td>Democrat, Working Families</td>
<td>Progessives</td>
<td>Nonpartisan</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>A J (Jim) Mattile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Green</td>
<td>John F Maher</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>State Senator, 5th District</td>
<td>Amie Robin</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Carol Connors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Stein</td>
<td>Michael Paul Marsh</td>
<td>Constitution</td>
<td>State Senator, 5th District</td>
<td>Capitol</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Position 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States President</td>
<td>Tom Cox</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>State Senator, 5th District</td>
<td>State Representative, 9th District</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Four Year Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheri Honkala</td>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Caddy McKeeen</td>
<td>Caddy McKeeen</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td>Chris Henry</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Robert Gambino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>James L Buchal</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Nancy Brouard</td>
<td>Guy Rosinbaum</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Position 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross C (Rocky) Anderson</td>
<td>James E Leunenberger</td>
<td>Constitution, Libertarian</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Write for one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States President</td>
<td>Ellen Rosenblum</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Zeke Olsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td>State Senator, 5th District</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Position 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Ryan</td>
<td>Scott Roberts</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Carrie Wyckoff Philpott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Skip Holtink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libertarian</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Position 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States President</td>
<td>Annie Braun</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Brent Berry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James P Gray</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Ted G Lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Ward I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Wes Ryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Ward II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States President</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Chris Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Vice President</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Council Member, Ward III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vote for one</td>
<td>Roger Sprague</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lincoln County Soil and Water Conservation District**

- **Director, Zone 2**
  - Vote for one
  - Ryan N Gassner
- **Director, Zone 3**
  - Vote for one
  - Sterling L Grant
- **Director, Zone 4**
  - Vote for one
  - Wayne DelMoray
- **Director, Zone 5**
  - Vote for one
  - W G (Rennie) Ferris
- **Director, Subdivision 1**
  - Vote for one
  - Deanna Leader
- **Director, Subdivision 2**
  - Vote for one
  - Chuck Varnes
- **Director, Subdivision 3**
  - Vote for one
  - Curt Abbott
- **Director, Subdivision 4**
  - Vote for one
  - Linda Pitson
- **Director, Subdivision 5**
  - Vote for one
  - Steve Belford

**City of Depoe Bay**

- **Mayor**
  - Two Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - A J (Jim) Mattile
- **Council Member, Ward I**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Carol Connors
- **Council Member, Ward II**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Robert Gambino
- **Council Member, Ward III**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Zeke Olsen
- **Council Member, Ward IV**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Carrie Wyckoff Philpott
- **City Manager**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Skip Holtink
- **Council Member, Ward V**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Brent Berry
- **Council Member, Ward VI**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Ted G Lewis
- **Council Member, Ward VII**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Chris Henry
- **Council Member, Ward VIII**
  - Four Year Term
  - Vote for one
  - Roger Sprague

**Official Lincoln County 2012 General Election Voters’ Pamphlet**
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  - November 6, 2012
  - Page 21-29
78 Amends Constitution: Changes constitutional language governing governmental system of separation of powers; makes grammatical and spelling changes

Result of “yes” vote: Amends state constitution by imposing taxes or fees on the transfer of certain interests in corporate entities (definition).

Summary: Amends constitution to declare state of emergency; makes substantive changes to wording now contained in the Oregon constitution. Current state constitutional language describes the government’s separation of powers to be divided into three separate “departments” (defined). Summary: Amends constitutional language describing separation of powers between three “departments” of government (rather than three “branches” of government); makes other grammatical, spelling, and punctuation changes.

Result of “no” vote: No vote retains existing constitutional language describing separation of powers between three “departments” of government (rather than three “branches” of government); makes other grammatical, spelling, and punctuation changes.

Summary: Amends constitutional language describing separation of powers to refer to three “branches” (instead of “departments” of government); makes other grammatical, spelling, and punctuation changes.

Result of “yes” vote: Yes vote changes constitutional language concerning separation of powers to refer to three “branches” (instead of “departments” of government); makes other grammatical, spelling, and punctuation changes.

Impact on Expenditures: None

Impact on Expenditures: May increase $22 million per year

Impact on Revenue: State Courts

Impact on Expenditures: Increase of $1.6 - $3.3 million per year

Impact on Revenue: None

Total Impact to the State:

Impact on Expenditures: May increase $22.3 - $23 million per year

Impact on Revenue: Indeterminate

Impact on Expenditures: Indeterminate

81 Prohibits commercial non-tribal fishing with gillnets in Oregon “inland waters,” allows use of seine nets

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote changes commercial fishing in Oregon “inland waters” (defined) by banning gillnets, unless those nets are used to catch only salmon or trout any time gillnets are allowed to be used by the person’s request. The Oregon Judicial Department estimates additional of gillnet permits within limit of 200, recognizes gillnet license holder as recipient of Columbia River in both Oregon and Washington waters.

Summary: Current law allows commercial salmon fishing in Columbia River only with gillnets; requires recreational salmon fisheries’ percentage share of ocean salmon catch to be readjusted annually, allows issuance of gillnet permits to the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission to permit use of gillnet by non-tribal fisheries in “inland waters” of Oregon and Washington.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote continues current commercial fishing practices, retains laws allowing gillnets, leaves other current regulations in place; continues annual adjustment of recreational salmon harvest share.

Summary: Current law allows commercial salmon fishing in Columbia River only with gillnets; requires recreational salmon fisheries’ percentage share of ocean salmon catch to be readjusted annually, allows issuance of gillnet permits to the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission to permit use of gillnet by non-tribal fisheries in “inland waters” of Oregon and Washington.

The amount of the impact for local law enforcement, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

Operation of the Commission: None

77 Amends Constitution: Governor may declare “catastrophic disaster” (defined); requires legislative session; authorizes suspending specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery.

Result of “yes” vote: Yes vote grants Governor constitutional authority to declare “catastrophic disaster” (defined); requires legislative session; authorizes suspending specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery.

Result of “no” vote: No vote implementing Governor’s statutory authority to declare state of emergency; suspends specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery.

Summary: Amends Constitution. Currently, Governor has statutory authority to declare state of emergency; suspends specified constitutional spending restrictions to aid response, recovery. Measure grants Governor constitutional authority to declare and respond to natural or human-caused “catastrophic disaster” (defined). Authorizes Governor to redirect previ- ous or allocated General Fund money to disaster response. Requires legislative session (under certain conditions, if necessary) to enact implementing legislation; may temporarily suspend specified constitutional spending restrictions. Terminates Governor’s disaster spending authority upon emerging of funding priorities for which funds may be used. Limits disas- ter authority of Governor and legislature to 30 days unless legislature acts to shorten/lengthen period; such legislation may include any provision legislative discretion necessary to provide “orderly transition” (unde- fined) to normal conditions. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: Referral 411 allows for governing action in the event of a catastrophic disaster. It grants the Gov- 0000 temporary authority to require state monies from legislatively-approved purposes to disaster relief. Measure requires the Governor to convene the legislature unless the Leg- islature is adjourned or is in recess, or is in session and scheduled to convene within 30 days.

The financial effect of the measure is indeterminate.

79 Amends Constitution: Prohibits real estate transfer fees, taxes, and other assessments, except those authorized by the Oregon Constitution (as of December 31, 2009)

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote prohibits state/ local governments from imposing taxes, fees, as- sessments on transfer of any real property, except those otherwise provided for December 31, 2009.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote continues existing constitutional prohibitions to impose taxing/fees (with exceptions), allowing state legislature to impose such taxes/fees.

Summary: Amends constitution to prohibit assessments other than those authorized by existing state laws.

Impact on Expenditures: May increase $22 million per year

Impact on Revenue: Indeterminate, but likely suffi- cient to cover expenditures

Board of Pharmacy

Impact on Expenditures: Increase of $75,000 per year

Impact on Revenue: Felony convictions

Decrease of $14 - $24 million per year

Impact on Revenue: None

Total Impact to the State:

Impact on Expenditures: May increase $22.3 - $23 million per year

Impact on Revenue: None

Total Impact to the State:

Impact on Expenditures: Indeterminate

Impact on Expenditures: Indeterminate

61 Prohibits commercial non-tribal fishing with gillnets in Oregon “inland waters,” allows use of seine nets

Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote changes commercial fishing in Oregon “inland waters” (defined) by banning gillnets, unless those nets are used to catch only salmon or trout any time gillnets are allowed to be used by the person’s request. The Oregon Judicial Department estimates additional of gillnet permits within limit of 200, recognizes gillnet license holder as recipient of Columbia River in both Oregon and Washington waters.

Summary: Current law allows commercial salmon fishing in Columbia River only with gillnets; requires recreational salmon fisheries’ percentage share of ocean salmon catch to be readjusted annually, allows issuance of gillnet permits to the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission to permit use of gillnet by non-tribal fisheries in “inland waters” of Oregon and Washington.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote continues current commercial fishing prac- tices, retains laws allowing gillnets, leaves other current regulations in place; continues annual adjustment of recreational salmon harvest share.

Summary: Current law allows commercial salmon fishing in Columbia River only with gillnets; requires recreational salmon fisheries’ percentage share of ocean salmon catch to be readjusted annually, allows issuance of gillnet permits to the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission to permit use of gillnet by non-tribal fisheries in “inland waters” of Oregon and Washington.

The amount of the impact for local law enforcement, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

Operation of the Commis-
of “seine nets” (defined) instead; ensures that recreation fishers are paid a percentage of overall salmon catch remains at 2007-2011 levels; prohibits the harvest of salmon caught by gillnet by non-tribal fishers in Oregon instead of the state’s waterways; prohibits issuing of additional gillnet permits; repeals state rules regarding the issuance of net licenses in Oregon and Washington waters. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure eliminates commercial non-tribal gillnet fishing, establishes the legal use of seine fishing, and may allow for continued fishing on the Columbia River. The measure requires the state to evaluate mortality associated with seine and fixed fishing gear as it relates to endangered salmon, steelhead, and other species. The measure will increase state government revenue by $150,000 per year for staff and supplies to perform ongoing monitoring and research, and will decrease state government revenue by $251,264 to $749,144 per year from state income taxes, permits, licenses, and surcharges received from the current non-tribal gillnet and tangle net fishing industry on the Columbia River or other inland waters is required to do so.

This measure does not affect local government.

82 Amends Constitution: Authorizes establishment of privately-owned casino; mandates percentage of revenues to be dedicated to state fund
Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote authorizes a single privately-owned casino in Wood Village; requires casino to give percentage of monthly revenue to State Lottery for specified purposes.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote maintains current state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casino within state; tribal casinos authorized pursuant to gaming compacts.

Summary: Amends constitution. Currently, Oregon Constitution prohibits privately-owned casino within state. Under measure, State Lottery shall issue one-year lease permitting owner of former Multnomah Kennel Club in Wood Village to operate gaming devices, table games, keno, and other games of chance at that site. Measure would become operative if state of the law, which does not authorize any privately-owned casino within state; tribal casinos authorized pursuant to gaming compacts.

84 Phases out existing inheritance taxes on large estates and state income taxes on intra-family property transfers
Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote phases out existing inheritance estate taxes on large estates, death-related property transfers, and taxes on certain intra-family property transfers; reduces state revenue.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains existing estate/ inheritance taxes on estates with value of at least $1 million; tax on all income-producing intra-family property transfers.

Summary: Current state law imposes one-time tax on estate of person dying on or after January 1, 2012, if estate’s gross value—determined by federal law as of December 31, 2010—is at least $1,000,000. Current law taxes income-producing properties owned by spouses or other members of parties’ relationships. Measure incrementally phases out estate/inheritance tax, tax on property transfers between family members (defined), and tax on property transferred in connection with person’s death; prohibits imposition of such taxes on property of person dying on or after January 1, 2016. Allows state to cooperate with other states and federal government in administering those entities’ estate/inheritance taxes; fees per probate and other transfers, related to estate; and any tax that may occur following person’s death. Measure reduces state revenues; provides no replacement. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: This measure phases out existing estate taxes, which will reduce state revenue by approximately $17 million in fiscal year 2013-14, approximately $43 million in 2014-15, and approximately $72 million in 2015-16. Oregon’s existing estate tax was phased out. Thereafter the measure will reduce state revenue by approximately $20 million per year, depending upon growth in estate values. This measure also prohibits all taxes on transfers of property between family members, and phases out existing taxes on those transfers. The current amount those transfers, and the changes that might occur given elimination of taxes on those transfers are unknown, therefore the impact of this part of the measure is indeterminate.

85 Amends Constitution: Allocates corporate income/excise tax “kicker” revenue to additional fund K through 12 public education
Result of “yes” vote: “Yes” vote allocates the corporate income and excise tax “kicker” revenue to the General Fund to provide additional funding for K through 12 public education.

Result of “no” vote: “No” vote retains existing corporate income and excise tax “kicker” that requires refund to corporations when revenue exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more.

Summary: Amends constitution. Before each biennium, the governor must prepare an estimate of revenues expected to be received by the General Fund for the next biennium. The General Fund is the primary funding source for schools, prisons, social services other state-funded programs/services. Current law requires an automatic “kicker” refund to taxpayers of corporate income and excise tax revenue that exceeds estimated collections by two percent or more. Measure allocates the corporate income and excise tax “kicker” revenue to fund K through 12 public education. Measure does not change the constitutional personal income tax “kicker” provision that requires a refund to individual taxpayers when personal income tax revenue exceeds estimated revenue by two percent or more. Other provisions.

Estimate of financial impact: The financial impact of this measure is indeterminate because it is affected by unknown future events. If corporation tax receipts exceed the state forecast by two percent or more for a two-year budget period beginning July 2013 or later, this measure will increase the amount retained in the state General Fund relative to current law. In addition, state expenditures must increase by the same amount, if this measure had been in place for the past ten budget periods, the increases have ranged from $101 million to $205 million in each of three of those periods.