

School Referendum					Municipal Referendum			Municipal Referendum		
Elcho School District Town of Enterprise / Schoepke School Ref.					Town of Lake Tomahawk Resolution			Town of Three Lakes Referendum Two Questions		
		Schoepke		Enterprise						
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	
1. Shall the School District of Elcho, Langlade and Oneida Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to issue pursuant to Chapter 67 of the Wisconsin Statutes, general obligation bonds in an amount not to exceed \$18,000,000 for the public purpose of paying the cost of additions, renovations, remodeling and replacement of portions of the existing District building; related site improvements; and the acquisition of furnishings, fixtures and equipment?		132	90	17	106	506	52	1. Do you support up to Nine Hundred Thousand (\$900,000.00) additional taxpayer dollars being Placed on the Levy for the Library expansion?	817	718
2. Shall the School District of Elcho, Langlade and Oneida Counties, Wisconsin be authorized to exceed the revenue limit specified in Section 121.91, Wisconsin Statutes, by \$500,000 per year beginning with the 2017-2018 school year and ending with the 2020-2021 school year, for non-recurring purposes consisting of operation expenses?		137	85	122	97			2. Do you support up to 1.8 million additional taxpayer dollars being placed on the levy to replace the existing structure for the Town Office, Police Department and Community Building with a New smaller structure?	761	773
Question: Shall the Town of Lake Tomahawk, adopt the following resolution? Resolved, that "We the People" of the Town of Lake Tomahawk, Wisconsin, seek to reclaim democracy from the expansion of corporate personhood rights and the corrupting influence of unregulated political contributions and spending. We stand with communities across Wisconsin and the country to support passage of an amendment to the United States Consitution stating: (1.) Only human beings are endowed with constitutional rights - not corporations unions, nonprofits or other artifical entities and (2.) Money is not speech, and therefore regulating political contrubitions and spending is not equivalent to limiting speech.										